

Operator ideal of s-type operators using weighted mean sequence space

EZGI ERDOĞAN and VATAN KARAKAYA

ABSTRACT. We introduce a different class of s-type operators by using the generalized weighted mean sequence space $c_0(u, v)$, then it is shown that this new class of operators is a quasi-Banach operator ideal. Moreover, their injectivity and surjectivity are investigated according to sort of s-number. Finally, we proof that it is a closed operator ideal under some conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Operator ideal is a natural generalization of the well-known ring theoretical notion. Operator ideal studied on Hilbert spaces in the beginning was introduced on the class of Banach spaces by Pietsch about 1969. s-number concept first used in the theory of the non-selfadjoint integral equations characterizes degree of approximation or compactness of a bounded linear operator and plays an important role in determining the new ideals. The main examples of s-numbers are approximation numbers, Kolmogorov numbers, Gel'fand numbers, Weyl numbers and Chang numbers. Various papers of operator ideals defined by using s-numbers of bounded linear operators can be found in the literature (see [2, 3, 9, 4]).

Initials of these studies are classes of ℓ_p ($1 < p < \infty$) type and c_0 type operators introduced by Pietsch [8], [5]. ℓ_p ($1 < p < \infty$) type and c_0 type operators are the operators having s-numbers in p -summable sequence space ℓ_p ($1 < p < \infty$) and null sequence space c_0 , respectively. Next, Constantin [2], and Maji and Srivastava [4] generalized the class of ℓ_p ($1 < p < \infty$) type operators to classes of $ces - p$ type and $s - type ces(p, q)$ operators by using the Cesaro sequence space and weighted Cesaro sequence space, respectively. Finally, Şimşek et al. [9] have studied the ideal of all bounded linear operators whose sequence of approximation numbers belong to the generalized modular spaces of Cesaro type, and Kara and İlkan [3] have studied more general class of ℓ_p type operators by using the generalized weighted mean sequence space.

Altay and Başar [1] introduced the sequence space $c_0(u, v)$ which is the set of all sequences having a range in c_0 under the generalized weighted mean transform. That is;

$$c_0(u, v) = \left\{ x \in w : (y_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i x_i \right) \in c_0 \right\}$$

where $(u_n), (v_k)$ sequences of positive real numbers such that $u_n, v_k \neq 0$ for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Received: 17.09.2017. In revised form: 01.03.2017. Accepted: 20.03.2017

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B06, 47L20.

Key words and phrases. Operator ideals, s-numbers, weighted mean sequence space.

Corresponding author: Vatan Karakaya; vkkaya@yahoo.com.

The sequence space $c_0(u, v)$ is called generalized weighted mean sequence space and a complete normed linear space with respect to the norm defined by

$$\|x\|_{c_0(u,v)} = \sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i x_i \right|$$

We introduce a different class of s-type operators by using the generalized weighted mean sequence space $c_0(u, v)$, and then it is shown that this new class of operators is a quasi-Banach operator ideal. Moreover, their injectivity and surjectivity are investigated according to sort of s-number. Finally, we prove that it is a closed operator ideal.

2. DEFINITIONS AND BACKGROUND

In this section, we give some definitions and terminologies with basic notations used throughout this paper.

Let E and F be Banach spaces. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ the set of all bounded linear operators acting between E and F , and by \mathcal{L} the ring of all bounded linear operators acting between arbitrary Banach spaces. Throughout this paper E' and a will show dual of E and a continuous function on E , respectively. For $a \in E'$ and $y \in F$, the map $a \otimes y : E \rightarrow F$ is defined by $(a \otimes y)(x) = (a(x)y)$.

Definition 2.1. (Finite-Rank Operator) A finite-rank operator is a bounded linear operator between Banach spaces whose range is finite-dimensional.

Definition 2.2. (Operator Ideal) [5] An operator ideal \mathcal{U} is a subclass of \mathcal{L} such that the components

$$\mathcal{U}(E, F) := \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F)$$

satisfy the following conditions:

(OI₁) $a \otimes y \in \mathcal{U}(E, F)$ for $a \in E'$ and $y \in F$.

(OI₂) $S + T \in \mathcal{U}(E, F)$ for $S, T \in \mathcal{U}(E, F)$.

(OI₃) $RST \in \mathcal{U}(E_0, F_0)$ for $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E)$, $S \in \mathcal{U}(E, F)$, $R \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_0)$, where E_0, F_0 are arbitrary Banach spaces.

From the condition (OI₂), it seems that $\lambda S \in \mathcal{U}(E, F)$ for $S \in \mathcal{U}(E, F)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then every component $\mathcal{U}(E, F)$ is a linear subset of $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$.

Definition 2.3. (Quasi Norm) [5] A function α which assigns to every operator $T \in \mathcal{U}$ a non-negative number $\alpha(T)$ is called a quasi-norm on the operator ideal \mathcal{U} if it has the following properties:

(QN₁) $\alpha(a \otimes y) = \|a\|_{E'} \|y\|_F$ for $a \in E'$ and $y \in F$.

(QN₂) $\alpha(S + T) \leq A[\alpha(S) + \alpha(T)]$ for $S, T \in \mathcal{U}(E, F)$, here $A \geq 1$ is a constant.

(QN₃) $\alpha(RST) \leq \|R\| \alpha(S) \|T\|$ for $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E)$, $S \in \mathcal{U}(E, F)$, $R \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_0)$, where E_0, F_0 are arbitrary Banach spaces.

When $C = 1$, then α becomes a norm on the operator ideal \mathcal{U} .

An ideal \mathcal{U} with a quasi norm α , denoted by $[\mathcal{U}, \alpha]$ and all components $\mathcal{U}(E, F)$ are linear topological Hausdorff spaces. A quasi-Banach operator ideal $[\mathcal{U}, \alpha]$ is an operator ideal such that all components $\mathcal{U}(E, F)$ are complete under the quasi norm.

Definition 2.4. (s-number) [6] A map s which assigns to every operator S an unique sequence $(s_n(S))$ is called an s -function if the following conditions are satisfied:

(OS₁)(monotonicity) $\|S\| = s_1(S) \geq s_2(S) \geq \dots \geq 0$ for $S \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$.

(OS₂)(additivity) $s_{n+m-1}(S + T) \leq s_n(S) + s_m(T)$ for $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$.

(OS₃)(ideal property) $s_n(RST) \leq \|R\| s_n(S) \|T\|$ for $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E)$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$, $R \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_0)$.

(OS₄)(rank property) If $S \in \mathcal{F}(E, F)$ and $rank(S) < n$, then $s_n(S) = 0$.

(OS₅)(norm property) $s_n(I_n) = 1$, where I_n is the identity map on the n -dimensional Hilbert space ℓ_n^2 .

$s_n(S)$ is called the n -th s -number of the operator S .

Regard that if $m = 1$ in (OS₂), then $s_n(S + T) \leq s_n(S) + \|T\|$.

Some defined s-numbers are given below (see [5]):

The n th approximation number is defined as

$$a_n(S) = \inf \{ \|S - L\| : L \in \mathcal{L}(E, F), \text{rank } L < n \}.$$

The n th Gel'fand number is defined as

$c_n(S) = \inf \{ \|SJ_M\| : M \subset E, \text{codim}(M) < n \}$, where J_M is the natural embedding from subspace M of E into E .

The n th Kolmogorov number is defined as

$d_n(S) = \inf \{ \|Q_N S\| : N \subset F, \text{dim}(N) < n \}$, where Q_N is the quotient map from F onto F/N .

The n th Weyl number is defined as

$x_n(S) = \inf \{ a_n(SA) : \|A\| \leq 1, \text{ where } A : \ell_2 \rightarrow E \}$, where $a_n(SA)$ is the n th approximation number of the operator SA .

The n th Chang number is defined as

$y_n(S) = \inf \{ a_n(BS) : \|B\| \leq 1, \text{ where } B : F \rightarrow \ell_2 \}$, where $a_n(BS)$ is the n th approximation number of the operator BS .

The n th Hilbert number is defined as

$$h_n(S) = \inf \{ a_n(BSA) : \|A\| \leq 1, \|B\| \leq 1, \text{ where } A : \ell_2 \rightarrow E, B : F \rightarrow \ell_2 \}.$$

Remark 2.1. [5] For $S \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$, the following inequalities hold;

$$h_n(S) \leq x_n(S) \leq c_n(S) \leq a_n(S), h_n(S) \leq y_n(S) \leq d_n(S) \leq a_n(S).$$

Lemma 2.1. [7] Let $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$. Then $|s_n(T) - s_n(S)| \leq \|T - S\|$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Definition 2.5. (injective) [5] An s-number sequence $s = (s_n)$ is called injective if, given any metric injection $J \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_0)$, $s_n(T) = s_n(JT)$ for all $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$.

A quasi-normed operator ideal $[U, \alpha]$ is called injective if $T \in U(E, F)$ and $\alpha(T) = \alpha(JT)$ as $JT \in U(E, F_0)$, where $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $J \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_0)$ is a metric injection.

Definition 2.6. (surjective) [5] An s-number sequence $s = (s_n)$ is called surjective if, given any metric surjection $Q \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E)$, $s_n(T) = s_n(TQ)$ for all $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$.

A quasi-normed operator ideal $[U, \alpha]$ is called surjective if $T \in U(E, F)$ and $\alpha(T) = \alpha(TQ)$ as $TQ \in U(E_0, F)$, where $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E)$ is a metric surjection.

Proposition 2.1. [5] Kolmogorov numbers and Weyl numbers are injective, Gel'fand numbers and Chang numbers are surjective.

Definition 2.7. (closed ideal) [5] An operator ideal U is closed if all components $U(E, F)$ are closed linear subsets of $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$. This means that U becomes a Banach operator ideal by using the ordinary operator norm.

3. S-TYPE WEIGHTED MEAN NULL OPERATOR IDEAL

We call an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is of s -type generalized weighted mean null operator if $\sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T)) \in c_0$. We denote by $U_{c_0}^{(s)}$ class of all s -type generalized weighted mean

null operators between any two Banach spaces. i.e.

$$\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)} = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \sum_{i=1}^n v_i (s_i(T)) = 0 \right\}$$

Let $(u_n), (v_k)$ be bounded sequences of positive real numbers such that

$$(3.1) \quad v_{2k-1} + v_{2k} \leq C v_k,$$

$$(3.2) \quad (u_n) \in c_0,$$

where $C > 1$ is independent of k .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(u_n), (v_k)$ be sequences that provide the conditions (3.1) and (3.2), then $\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$ is an operator ideal.*

Proof. To prove $\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$ is an operator ideal, it will be shown the conditions $(OI_1), (OI_2), (OI_3)$ are provided.

Let $a \in E'$ and $y \in F$, then $a \otimes y$ is a finite rank operator with rank one and so by definition of the s -number, we have $s_n(a \otimes y) = 0$, for all $n \geq 2$. We need to show that $a \otimes y \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$.

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(a \otimes y)) \right)_{n=1}^{\infty} = (u_n v_1 s_1(a \otimes y))_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Since $(u_n) \in c_0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n v_1 s_1(a \otimes y) = 0$ and we obtain $a \otimes y \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E \rightarrow F)$.

Let $S, T \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E \rightarrow F)$. It follows from definition (2.2), s -number forms positive terms and it is non increasing. Using (OS_2) ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(S+T)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_{2i-1} s_{2i-1}(S+T) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_{2i} s_{2i}(S+T) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n (v_{2i-1} + v_{2i}) s_{2i-1}(S+T) \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i s_{2i-1}(S+T) \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(S) + s_i(T)). \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(S+T)) \leq C \left[\sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i s_i(S) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i s_i(T) \right].$$

Since $S, T \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E \rightarrow F)$, then we get $S+T \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E \rightarrow F)$.

To provide (OI_3) , we apply the condition (OS_3) .

For $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E), R \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_0)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(RST)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i \|R\| s_n(S) \|T\| = \|R\| \|T\| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i s_n(S).$$

Thus $RST \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E \rightarrow F)$, since $S \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E \rightarrow F)$.

It is shown that $\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$ is an operator ideal. □

Let us define the function $\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)} : \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ as follows:

$$\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(S) = \frac{\sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(S)) \right|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|},$$

where $(u_n), (v_k)$ are sequences that provide the conditions (3.1) and (3.2).

Theorem 3.2. *The operator ideal $\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$ is a quasi-normed operator ideal with the quasi norm $\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}$.*

Proof. To prove $\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}$ is a quasi norm on the operator ideal $\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$, it must be shown that the function $\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}$ satisfies (QN_1) , (QN_2) , (QN_3) .

i) Let $a \in E'$ and $y \in F$, then $a \otimes y$ is a finite rank operator with rank one and so by definition of the s-number, we have $s_n(a \otimes y) = 0$, for all $n \geq 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(a \otimes y) &= \frac{\sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(a \otimes y)) \right|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|} = \frac{\sup_n |u_n v_1 (s_1(a \otimes y))|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|} \\ &= \frac{\sup_n |u_n v_1 \|a \otimes y\|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|} = \|a \otimes y\| \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|a \otimes y\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|a(x)y\| = \|a\| \|y\|$, we get $\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(a \otimes y) = \|a\| \|y\|$

ii) Using the inequality (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(S + T) &\leq \frac{\sup_n \left| C \left[\sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i s_i(S) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i s_i(T) \right] \right|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|} \\ &\leq C \left[\frac{\sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i s_i(S) \right|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|} + \frac{\sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i s_i(T) \right|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|} \right] = C \left[\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(S) + \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(T) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

iii) Now, we show the last condition (QN_3) . With the third condition (OS_3) in the definition (2.4), we obtain

$$\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(RST) \leq \frac{\sup_n \left| \|R\| \|T\| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(S)) \right|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|} = \|R\| \|T\| \frac{\sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(S)) \right|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|}.$$

Then, we get $\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(RST) \leq \|R\| \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(S) \|T\|$. □

Theorem 3.3. *The operator ideal $[\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}, \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}]$ is a quasi-Banach operator ideal under the quasi-norm $\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}$.*

Proof. It must shown that each component $\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E, F)$ of $\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$ is complete under the quasi-norm $\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}$. For $T \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$, we have

$$\sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T)) \right| \geq \sup_n |u_n v_1 s_1(T)| = \|T\| \sup_n |u_n v_1|.$$

Then,

$$(3.4) \quad \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(T) \geq \|T\|$$

Let (T_r) be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$. Then for arbitrary ε positive number, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(T_r - T_m) < \varepsilon \text{ for every } r, m \geq n_0.$$

It follows from (3.4) that,

$$\|T_r - T_m\| \leq \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(T_r - T_m) < \varepsilon \forall r, m \geq n_0.$$

Then (T_r) is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$. It is well known that $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is a Banach space, when F is Banach space. So, $\|T_r - T\| \rightarrow 0$, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, for $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$.

We need to show that $T_r \rightarrow T$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E, F)$.

Applying Lemma 2.1, we get that

$$|s_n(T_m - T_r) - s_n(T - T_r)| \leq \|(T_m - T_r) - (T - T_r)\| = \|T_m - T\|$$

Since $T_m \rightarrow T$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad s_n(T_m - T_r) \rightarrow s_n(T - T_r) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using (3.5), for $r, m \geq n_0$ the following holds

$$\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(T_m - T_r) = \frac{\sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T_m - T_r)) \right|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|} < \varepsilon$$

or, equally,

$$\sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T_m - T_r)) \right| < \varepsilon \sup_n |u_n v_1|$$

From (3.6) and choosing a fixed $r \geq n_0$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$

$$(3.7) \quad \sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T - T_r)) \right| < \varepsilon \sup_n |u_n v_1|$$

then

$$\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(T_r - T) < \varepsilon \quad \forall r \geq n_0.$$

This shows that, $T_r \rightarrow T$ under the quasi-norm $\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}$.

To complete the proof, we shall show that $T \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T)) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_{2i-1} (s_{2i-1}(T)) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_{2i} (s_{2i}(T)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n (v_{2i-1} + v_{2i}) (s_{2i-1}(T)) \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_{2i-1}(T)) = C \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_{2i-1}(T - T_m + T_m)) \\ &\leq C \left[\sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T - T_m)) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T_m)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.7) and $(T_m) \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$, we get $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T)) \leq C(1 + \sup_n |u_n v_1|) \varepsilon$ and

then $\sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T)) \in c_0$. Hence, $T \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.4. *If s -number sequence is injective, then the quasi-Banach operator ideal $[\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}, \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}]$ is injective.*

Proof. Suppose that $JT \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E, F_0)$ for an arbitrary $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and a metric injection $J \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_0)$. We shall show that $\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(JT) = \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(T)$ and $T \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E, F)$.

By hypothesis, we have $s_n(JT) = s_n(T)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(JT) = \frac{\sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(JT)) \right|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|} = \frac{\sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T)) \right|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|} = \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(T)$$

Since $JT \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E, F_0)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(JT)) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(JT) = \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(T)$ and $T \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E, F)$ hold. \square

Remark 3.2. The quasi-Banach operator ideals $[\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(c)}, \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(c)}]$ and $[\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(x)}, \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(x)}]$ corresponding to the Gel'fand numbers $c = (c_n)$ and the Weyl numbers $x = (x_n)$, respectively, are injective quasi-Banach operator ideals.

Theorem 3.5. *The quasi-Banach operator ideal $[\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}, \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}]$ is surjective, whenever s-number sequence is surjective.*

Proof. Suppose that $TQ \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E_0, F)$ for an arbitrary $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and a metric surjection $Q \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E)$. We shall show that $\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(TQ) = \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(T)$ and $T \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E, F)$.

By hypothesis, s-number sequence is surjective, then $s_n(TQ) = s_n(T)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$\mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(TQ) = \frac{\sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(TQ)) \right|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|} = \frac{\sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T)) \right|}{\sup_n |u_n v_1|} = \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}(T)$$

Since $TQ \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E_0, F)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(T)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (s_i(TQ)) = 0.$$

Therefore, $[\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}, \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(s)}]$ is surjective. \square

Remark 3.3. The quasi-Banach operator ideals $[\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(d)}, \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(d)}]$ and $[\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(y)}, \mathfrak{N}_{c_0}^{(y)}]$ corresponding to the Kolmogorov numbers $d = (d_n)$ and the Chang numbers $y = (y_n)$, respectively, are surjective quasi-Banach operator ideals.

Theorem 3.6. *The following inclusions hold:*

$$I. \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(a)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(c)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(x)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(h)}; II. \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(a)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(d)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(y)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(h)}.$$

Proof. I. Let assume that $S \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(a)}$. Then, $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (a_i(S)) \right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c_0$. Applying Remark 2.1, we obtain

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (h_i(S)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (c_i(S)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (x_i(S)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (a_i(S))$$

II. The proof is similar to part I. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(v_k) \in \ell_1$, then $\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$ is a closed operator ideal.*

Proof. Let $(T_k) \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E, F)$ and $\|T_k - T\| \rightarrow 0$ for $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$. We need to show that $T \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E, F)$. For given $\varepsilon > 0$, we fix k and n_0 such that

$$\|T_k - T\| < \varepsilon \text{ and } s_n(T_k) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0$$

From the condition (OS₂) of definition 2.4, we get

$$s_n(T) \leq \|T_k - T\| + s_n(T_k) \leq 2\varepsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i s_i(T) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i [\|T_k - T\| + s_i(T_k)] \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (2\varepsilon)$$

Then

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i s_i(T) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i (2\varepsilon) \leq 2 \|u_n\|_{\infty} \|v_k\|_1 \varepsilon$$

Thus $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_n v_i s_i(T) \right) \in c_0$ and $T \in \mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}(E, F)$. □

Remark 3.4.

- In particular if we take $v_n = 1$ and $u_n = \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$ is called as
$$\sum_{i=1}^n v_i$$

Cesaro null type operator ideal.

- Taking $u_n = \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{U}_{c_0}^{(s)}$ is reduced Norlund null type operator
$$\sum_{i=1}^n v_i$$
 ideal.

Acknowledgements. The first author was supported by TÜBİTAK- The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey.

REFERENCES

- [1] Altay, B. and Başar, F., *Some Paranormed Sequence Spaces of Non-Absolute Type Derived by Weighted Mean*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **319** (2006), 494–508
- [2] Constantine, Gh., *Operators of ces-p type*, Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei., **52** (1972), No. 8, 875–878
- [3] Kara, E. E. and Ilkhan, M., *On a New Class of s-type Operators*, Konuralp J. Math., **3** (2015), No. 1, 1–11
- [4] Maji, A. and Srivastava, P. D., *On operator ideals using weighted Cesaro sequence space*, Egypt. Math. Soc., **22** (2014), 446–452
- [5] Pietsch, A., *Eigenvalues and s-numbers*, Cambridge University Press, 1987
- [6] Pietsch, A., *Operator Ideals*, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1980
- [7] Pietsch, A., *s-numbers of Operators in Banach Spaces*, Studia Math., **51** (1974), 201–223
- [8] Pietsch, A., *Einige neue Klassen Von Kompakten linearen Abbildungen*, Rev. Math. Pures Appl. (Bucarest), **8** (1963), 427–447
- [9] Şimşek N., Karakaya V. and Polat H., *Operator Ideals of Generalized Modular Spaces of Cesaro Type Defined by Weighted Means*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **19** (2015), No. 5, 804–811

MARMARA UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
34722, KADIKÖY, ISTANBUL, TURKEY
E-mail address: ezgi.erdogan@marmara.edu.tr

YILDIZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ENGINEERING
34220, DAVUTPAŞA, ISTANBUL, TURKEY
E-mail address: vkkaya@yahoo.com