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#### Abstract

The purpose of this article is to investigate a projection algorithm for solving a fixed point problem of a closed multi-valued Bregman quasi-strict pseudocontraction and an equilibrium problem of a bifunction. Strong convergence of the projection algorithm is obtained without any compact assumption in a reflexive Banach space. As applications, monotone variational inequality problems are considered. Finally, a numerical simulation example is presented for demonstrating the feasibility and convergence of the algorithm proposed in main result.


## 1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a Banach space and $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E . N(C)$ and $C B(C)$ stand for the family of nonempty subsets and nonempty closed bounded subsets of $C$, respectively. Let $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the Hausdorff metric on $C B(C)$ defined as $H(A, B)=$ $\max \left\{\sup _{y \in B} d(y, A), \sup _{x \in A} d(x, B)\right\}, \forall A, B \in C B(C)$, where $d(a, B)=\inf \{\|a-b\|: b \in$ $B\}$ is the distance from point $a$ to subset $B$. Let $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ be a multi-valued mapping. $F(T):=\{p \in C: p=T(p)\}$ represents the fixed point set of $T$.

Lots of problems can be studied via fixed point techniques of multi-valued mappings, such as optimal control, signal processing, image reconstruction, which makes construction of iterative algorithms for approximating fixed points of multi-valued mappings become one of the main concerns of fixed point theory $[5,6,7,8,10]$. On the other hand, the "socalled" equilibrium problem with respect to a bifunction $g: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is described as follows: find $\tilde{x}$ such that $g(\tilde{x}, y) \geq 0$, for all $y \in C$. The set of solutions of the equilibrium problem is denoted as $E P(g)$. To solve the equilibrium problem, the following assumptions hold: (A1) $g(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in C$; (A2) $g$ is monotone, i.e., $g(x, y)+g(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$; (A3) for all $x, y, z \in C, \lim \sup _{t \downarrow 0} g(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq g(x, y)$; (A4) for all $x \in C, g(x, \cdot)$ is convex and lower semi-continuous.

In this paper, our main goal is to address the convergence of iterative algorithms for approximating a common element in the fixed points set of a multi-valued Bregman quasistrict pseudo-contraction and the solutions set of an equilibrium problem in a reflexive Banach space. The results presented in this paper improve some corresponding results announced in $[9,14,15,16]$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some preliminaries which are used in the following section. Unless mentioned otherwise, all throughout the paper, $E$ is a real reflexive Banach space

[^0]with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $E^{*}$ is the dual space of $E, C$ is a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E . f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ is a proper, convex and lower semi-continuous function. Denote the domain of $f$ by $\operatorname{dom} f$, i.e., $\operatorname{dom} f:=\{x \in E: f(x)<+\infty\} . \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ are denoted as the sets of positive integers and real numbers, respectively. Let any $x \in$ int $\operatorname{dom} f$ and $y \in E$, the right-hand derivative of $f$ at $x$ in the direction of $y$ is defined by
$$
f^{\circ}(x, y)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(x+t y)-f(x)}{t}
$$

Definition 2.1. The function $f$ is said to be: (i) Gâteaux differentiable at $x$ if the limit $f^{\circ}(x, y)$ exists for any $y$; (ii) Gâteaux differentiable if it is Gâteaux differentiable for any $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$; (iii) Fréchet differentiable at $x$ if the limit $f^{\circ}(x, y)$ is attained uniformly in $\|y\|=1$; (iv) uniformly Fréchet differentiable on a subset $C$ of $E$ if the limit $f^{\circ}(x, y)$ is attained uniformly for $x \in C$ and $\|y\|=1$.
Remark 2.1. (i) If $f$ is Gâteaux differentiable at $x$, then $f^{\circ}(x, y)$ coincides with $\nabla f(x)$, the value of the gradient $\nabla f$ of $f$ at $x$; (ii) if a continuous convex function $f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Gâteaux differentiable, $\nabla f$ is norm-to-weak* continuous; (iii) if $f$ is Fréchet differentiable, $\nabla f$ is norm-to-norm continuous.

Let $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$, the subdifferential of $f$ at $x$ is the convex set defined by $\partial f(x)=$ $\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}: f(x)+\left\langle x^{*}, y-x\right\rangle \leq f(y), \forall y \in E\right\}$. The Fenchel conjugate of $f$ is the function $f^{*}: E^{*} \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ defined by $f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)=\sup \left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-f(x): x \in E\right\}$, where $x^{*} \in E^{*}$.

Definition 2.2. The function $f$ is called: (i) essentially smooth if $\partial f$ is both locally bounded and single-valued on its domain; (ii) essentially strictly convex if $(\partial f)^{-1}$ is locally bounded on its domain and $f$ is strictly convex on every convex subset of dom $\partial f$; (iii) Legendre, if it is both essentially smooth and essentially strictly convex.
Remark 2.2. Let $E$ be a reflexive Banach space, the following conclusions hold: (i) $f$ is essentially smooth if and only if $f^{*}$ is essentially strictly convex; (ii) $(\partial f)^{-1}=\partial f^{*}$; (iii) $f$ is Legendre if and only if $f^{*}$ is Legendre; (iv)if $f$ is Legendre, then $\nabla f$ is bijection satisfying $\nabla f=\left(\nabla f^{*}\right)^{-1}, \operatorname{ran} \nabla f=\operatorname{dom} \nabla f^{*}=\operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f^{*}$ and $\operatorname{ran} \nabla f^{*}=\operatorname{dom} \nabla f=\operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$, see [1].
Definition 2.3. Let $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be a Gâteaux differentiable function. The Bregman distance with respect to $f[4]$ is the function $D_{f}: \operatorname{dom} f \times \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ defined by

$$
D_{f}(y, x):=f(y)-\langle\nabla f(x), y-x\rangle-f(x)
$$

Recall that the bifunction $V_{f}: E \times E^{*} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ associated with $f$ is defined by $V_{f}\left(x, x^{*}\right)=f(x)-\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle+f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right), \forall x \in E, x^{*} \in E^{*}$. Then $V_{f}$ is nonnegative and satisfies $V_{f}\left(x, x^{*}\right)=D_{f}\left(x, \nabla f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)\right), \forall x \in E, x^{*} \in E^{*}$. Although $D_{f}(\cdot, \cdot)$ does not normally satisfy the symmetry and the triangle inequality, it has the following important property, called "three point identity": for any $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $y, z \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f,\langle\nabla f(z)-\nabla f(y), x-y\rangle=$ $D_{f}(x, y)+D_{f}(y, z)-D_{f}(x, z)$.
Definition 2.4. If $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ is convex and Gâteaux differentiable, $C \subset \operatorname{dom} f$ is a nonempty, closed, and convex set. The Bregman projection [11] $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$ onto $C$ is the unique vector $P_{C}^{f}(x) \in C$ satisfying $D_{f}\left(P_{C}^{f}(x), x\right)=\inf \left\{D_{f}(y, x): y \in C\right\}$.

In fact, the Bregman projection $P_{C}^{f}(x)$, which is more general than the generalized projection $\Pi_{C}(x)$ defined by $\Pi_{C}(x)=\arg \min _{y \in C} \phi(y, x)$ from $E$ onto $C$, reduces to the generalized projection by taking $f(x)=\|x\|^{2}$ for all $x \in E$.

Let $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be Gâteaux differentiable. The modulus of total convexity of $f$ at $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ is the function $\nu_{f}(x, \cdot):[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ defined by $\nu_{f}(x, t):=$ $\inf \left\{D_{f}(y, x): y \in \operatorname{dom} f,\|y-x\|=t\right\}$. The modulus of total convexity of the function $f$ on the set $B$ is the function $\nu_{f}: \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f \times[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ defined by $\nu_{f}(B, t):=$ $\inf \left\{\nu_{f}(x, t): x \in B \cap \operatorname{dom} f\right\}$.

Definition 2.5. A function $f$ is said to be: (i) totally convex at $x$ if $\nu_{f}(x, t)>0$, whenever $t>0$; (ii) totally convex if it is totally convex at any point $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$; (iii) totally convex on bounded sets if $\nu_{f}(B, t)>0$ for any nonempty bounded subset $B$ of $E$ and $t>0$.

Definition 2.6. A function $f$ is said to be: (i) strongly coercive if $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) /\|x\|=\infty$; (ii) sequentially consistent [3], if for any two sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ such that the first one is bounded, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0$.
Definition 2.7. A multi-valued mapping $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ is said to be multi-valued Bregman quasi-strictly pseudo-contractive with respect to $f$ if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$
D_{f}(p, u) \leq D_{f}(p, x)+k D_{f}(x, u), \forall u \in T x, x \in C, p \in F(T)
$$

In the following, we list some lemmas which are important in our proof.
Lemma 2.1. [3] Suppose that $f$ is Gâteaux differentiable and totally convex on int domf. For a nonempty, closed and convex set $C \subset$ int domf, $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{domf}$ and $\hat{x} \in C$, then the following conditions are equivalent: ( $i$ ) the vector $\hat{x}$ is the Bregman projection of $x$ onto $C$ with respect to $f$, i.e., $z=P_{C}^{f}(x)$; (ii) the vector $\hat{x}$ is the unique solution for $\langle\nabla f(x)-\nabla f(z), z-y\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C$; (iii) The vector $\hat{x}$ is the unique solution for $D_{f}(y, z)+D_{f}(z, x) \leq D_{f}(y, x), \quad \forall y \in C$.

Lemma 2.2. [1] Suppose $x \in E$ and $y \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{domf}$. If $f$ is essentially strictly convex, then $D_{f}(x, y)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=y$.

Lemma 2.3. [2] The function $f$ is totally convex on bounded sets if and only if the function $f$ is sequentially consistent.
Lemma 2.4. [12] Suppose that $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Gâteaux differentiable and totally convex. If $x_{0} \in E$ and the sequence $\left\{D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is bounded, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded too.

Lemma 2.5. [11] Suppose that the convex function $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded on bounded subsets of $E$. Then the following assertions are equivalent: (a) $f$ is strongly coercive and uniformly convex on bounded subsets of $E$; (b) $f^{*}$ is Fréchet differentiable and $\nabla f^{*}$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of $\operatorname{dom} f^{*}=E^{*}$.

Lemma 2.6. [13] Suppose that the convex, continuous and strongly coercive function $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded on bounded subsets and uniformly convex on bounded subsets of $E, g: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4), Res $r_{r}^{g}: E \rightarrow C$ is the resolvent operator defined by $R e s s_{r}^{g}(x)=$ $\left\{z \in C: g(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, \nabla f(z)-\nabla f(x)\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}$, where $r>0, x \in E$. Then the following statements hold: (a) Res $s_{r}^{g}$ is single-valued; (b) $F\left(\right.$ Res $\left._{r}^{g}\right)=E P(g)$; (c) $E P(g)$ is closed and convex; $(d) D_{f}\left(p, \operatorname{Res}_{r}^{g} x\right)+D_{f}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{r}^{g} x, x\right) \leq D_{f}(p, x), \forall p \in E P(g), \forall x \in E$.

## 3. Main results

In this section, we state and prove our main theorem.
Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a strongly coercive Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable and totally convex on bounded subsets of $E, g$ is a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $(A 1)-(A 4), T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ is a closed mapping defined as Definition 2.7 such that $F(T) \cap E P(g) \neq \emptyset$. For an arbitrary element $x_{0} \in C$, let $C_{0}=C$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence generated by the following iterative algorithm:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\nabla f^{*}\left[\alpha_{n} \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \nabla f\left(z_{n}\right)\right], \quad z_{n} \in T x_{n},  \tag{3.1}\\
g\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, \nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: D_{f}\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\frac{\kappa}{1-\kappa}\left\langle x_{n}-z, \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\nabla f\left(z_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right\}, \kappa \in[0,1), \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\widehat{p}=$ $P_{F(T) \cap E P(g)}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$, where $P_{F(T) \cap E P(g)}^{f}$ is the Bregman projection of $E$ onto $F(T) \cap E P(g)$.
Proof. Due to the construction of $C_{n}$, one sees that $C_{n}$ is closed for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Since $D_{f}\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, y_{n}\right)$ and $D_{f}\left(z, y_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\frac{k}{1-k}\left\langle x_{n}-z, \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(z_{n}\right)\right\rangle$ are equal to $\left\langle z, \nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)\right\rangle \leq f\left(u_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}\right)+\left\langle y_{n}, \nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle u_{n}, \nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)\right\rangle$, and $\left\langle z, \frac{1}{1-k} \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)-\frac{k}{1-k} \nabla f\left(z_{n}\right)\right\rangle \leq f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left\langle x_{n}, \frac{1}{1-k} \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle-$ $\left\langle x_{n}, \frac{k}{1-k} \nabla f\left(z_{n}\right)\right\rangle$
$-\left\langle y_{n}, \nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\rangle$ respectively, thus $C_{n}$ is convex and closed for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$.
Next, we show that $F(T) \cap E P(g) \subset C_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. It is clear that $F(T) \cap E P(g) \subset$ $C_{0}=C$. Suppose that $F(T) \cap E P(g) \subset C_{m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $w \in F(T) \cap E P(g) \subset$ $C_{m}$, since $u_{m}=\operatorname{Res}_{r_{m}}^{g} y_{m}$, one has from Lemma 2.6 (d) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{f}\left(w, u_{m}\right) & \leq D_{f}\left(w, y_{m}\right) \leq \alpha_{m} V\left(w, \nabla f\left(x_{m}\right)\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{m}\right) V\left(w, \nabla f\left(z_{m}\right)\right) \\
& =\alpha_{m} D_{f}\left(w, x_{m}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{m}\right) D_{f}\left(w, z_{m}\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{m} D_{f}\left(w, x_{m}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{m}\right)\left[D_{f}\left(w, x_{m}\right)+k D_{f}\left(x_{m}, z_{m}\right)\right] \\
& \leq D_{f}\left(w, x_{m}\right)+\frac{k}{1-k}\left\langle x_{m}-w, \nabla f\left(x_{m}\right)-\nabla f\left(z_{m}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $w \in C_{m+1}$. Therefore, one has $F(T) \cap E P(g) \subset C_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$.
Now, we are in a position to show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)$ exists. In fact, since $x_{n}=$ $P_{C_{n}}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$, from Lemma 2.1 (ii), one has $\left\langle y-x_{n}, \nabla f\left(x_{0}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C_{n}$, and since $F(T) \cap E P(g) \subset C_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle w-x_{n}, \nabla f\left(x_{0}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall w \in F(T) \cap E P(g) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 2.1 (iii), one has $D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)=D_{f}\left(P_{C_{n}}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right), x_{0}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(w, x_{0}\right)-D_{f}\left(w, P_{C_{n}}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ $\leq D_{f}\left(w, x_{0}\right)$, for each $w \in F(T) \cap E P(g)$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Therefore, $\left\{D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. From Lemma 2.4, one has $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is also bounded. Since $x_{n}=P_{C_{n}}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right) \in C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$, one has $D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. This implies that $\left\{D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence. Therefore $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)$ exists. Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $E$ is reflexive, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\} \subset\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup \widehat{p} \in C=C_{1}$. Since $C_{n}$ is closed and convex and $C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$, this implies that $C_{n}$ is weakly closed and $\widehat{p} \in C_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Hence $\widehat{p} \in C_{n_{i}}$ for all $n_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. In view of $x_{n_{i}}=P_{C_{n_{i}}}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$, one has from the definition of Bregman projection that $D_{f}\left(x_{n_{i}}, x_{0}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{0}\right), \quad \forall n_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Since $f$ is a lower semi-continuous function on convex set $C$, it is weakly lower semi-continuous on $C$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n_{i}}, x_{0}\right) & =\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\{f\left(x_{n_{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)-\left\langle\nabla f\left(x_{0}\right), x_{n_{i}}-x_{0}\right\rangle\right\} \\
& \geq f(\widehat{p})-f\left(x_{0}\right)-\left\langle\nabla f\left(x_{0}\right), \widehat{p}-x_{0}\right\rangle=D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, one has $D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{0}\right) \leq \liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n_{i}}, x_{0}\right) \leq \limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n_{i}}, x_{0}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{0}\right)$, which implies that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n_{i}}, x_{0}\right)=D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{0}\right)$. Employing 2.1 (iii), one obtains that $D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{n_{i}}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{0}\right)-D_{f}\left(x_{n_{i}}, x_{0}\right)$. When $n_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, one obtains $\lim _{n_{i} \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{n_{i}}\right)=0$, which implies from Lemma 2.2 that $\lim _{n_{i} \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_{i}}=\widehat{p}$. Besides, noticing that $\left\{D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is convergent, hence, one gets $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)=D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{0}\right)$.

And since $x_{n}=P_{C_{n}}^{f} x_{0}$, from Lemma 2.1 (iii), one has $D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{0}\right)-D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)$. Similarly, one also obtains $\lim _{n_{i} \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\widehat{p}$. Since $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$, from the construction of $C_{n+1}$, one has $D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, u_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)+\frac{k}{1-k}\left\langle x_{n}-x_{n+1}, \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\right.$ $\left.\nabla f\left(z_{n}\right)\right\rangle$. Noticing that $\lim _{n_{i} \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\widehat{p}$, one has $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n}\right)=0$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, u_{n}\right)=0$. In view of Lemma 2.3 and Definition 2.6, one has $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|=0$, furthermore, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0$. Since $\nabla f$ is uniformly continuous on each bounded subset of $E$, one has $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\|=0$. Due to $y_{n}=\nabla f^{*}\left[\alpha_{n} \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \nabla f\left(z_{n}\right)\right]$, one has $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(z_{n}\right)\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{n}}\left\|\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\|=0$. From Lemma 2.5, one has $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0$. Therefore $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\widehat{p}$. In view of $z_{n} \in T x_{n}$, and from the closedness of $T$, it follows $\widehat{p} \in T \widehat{p}$, that is, $\widehat{p} \in F(T)$.

Next, we prove $\widehat{p} \in E P(g)$. Obviously, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$. Hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| \nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)-$ $\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right) \|=0$. By the assumption $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$, one has $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\|}{r_{n}}=0$, which together with $u_{n}=T_{r_{n}}^{g} y_{n}$ implies that $g\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, \nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\rangle \geq$ $0, \forall y \in C$. From (A2), we deduce that $\left\|y-u_{n}\right\| \frac{\left\|\nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\|}{r_{n}} \geq \frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, \nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)-\right.$ $\left.\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\rangle \geq-g\left(u_{n}, y\right) \geq g\left(y, u_{n}\right), \forall y \in C$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, one has from (A4) that $g(y, \widehat{p}) \leq 0, \forall y \in C$. For $t \in(0,1)$ and $y \in C$, let $y_{t}=t y+(1-t) \widehat{p}$. Then $y_{t} \in C$, which yields that $g\left(y_{t}, \widehat{p}\right) \leq 0$. Therefore, from (A1) and (A4) one has $0=g\left(y_{t}, y_{t}\right) \leq t g\left(y_{t}, y\right)+(1-t) g\left(y_{t}, p\right) \leq t g\left(y_{t}, y\right)$. Dividing by $t$, one has $g\left(y_{t}, y\right) \geq 0$, $\forall y \in C$. Letting $t \downarrow 0$, from (A3), one has $g(\widehat{p}, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C$. Hence $\widehat{p} \in E P(g)$.

Finally, we take $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.2) and obtain that $\left\langle w-\widehat{p}, \nabla f\left(x_{0}\right)-\nabla f(\widehat{p})\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall w \in$ $F(T) \cap E P(g)$. In view of Lemma 2.10 (i) and (ii), one has $\widehat{p}=P_{F(T) \cap E P(g)}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

## 4. Applications and examples

Let $A: C \subseteq E \rightarrow E^{*}$ be a nonlinear mapping. The variational inequality problem for a nonlinear mapping $A$ and its domain $C$ is to find $\bar{x} \in C$ such that $\langle A \bar{x}, y-\bar{x}\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C$. The set of solutions of the variational inequality problem is denoted by $\operatorname{VI}(C, A)$. Recall that a mapping $A: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ is called monotone if $\langle A x-A y, x-y\rangle \geq 0, \forall x, y \in C$.

Assume that $A$ is a continuous and monotone mapping. For $r>0$, define the resolvent operator $\operatorname{Res}_{r}^{f}: E \rightarrow C$ as follows: for all $x \in E, \operatorname{Res}_{r}^{A}:=\left\{z \in C:\langle A z, y-z\rangle+\frac{1}{r}\langle\nabla f(z)-\right.$ $\nabla f(x), y-z\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}$. Similar to Lemma 2.15, the following conclusions hold: (1) $\operatorname{Res}_{r}^{A}$ is single-valued; (2) $F\left(\operatorname{Res}_{r}^{A}\right)=V I(C, A)$; (3) $D_{f}\left(p, \operatorname{Res}_{r}^{A} x\right)+D_{f}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{r}^{A} x, x\right) \leq$ $D_{f}(p, x)$, for $p \in F\left(\operatorname{Res}_{r}^{A}\right)$; (4) $V I(C, A)$ is closed and convex.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are defined as Theorem 3.1, $A: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ is a continuous monotone mapping such that $V I(C, A) \cap E P(g) \neq \emptyset$. Reset $T x_{n}=\operatorname{Res}_{r}^{A} x_{n}$ in the algorithm (3.1), let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence generated by the algorithm (3.1). Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\hat{p}=P_{V I(C, A) \cap E P(g)}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$, where $P_{V I(C, A) \cap E P(g)}^{f}$ is the Bregman projection of $E$ onto $V I(C, A) \cap E P(g)$.

Finally, a numerical experiment will be carried out to demonstrate the efficiency of the algorithm (3.1). Based on Example 5.1 of Wang and Wei [16] and Example 1 of Saewan, Cho, Kumam [13], the following example could be obtained easily.

Example 4.1. Let $E=\mathbb{R}, C=[-\pi, \pi], f(x)=x^{2}, T x=\sin \left(\frac{1}{2} x\right), g(z, y)=y^{2}+z y-2 z^{2}$. Then $T$ is a closed Bregman quasi-strict pseudo-contraction with $E P(g) \bigcap F(T)=\{0\}$.

Based on the assumption of Example 4.1, replace $T x_{n}$ and $g\left(u_{n}, y\right)$ by $T x_{n}=\sin \left(\frac{1}{2} x_{n}\right)$, $g\left(u_{n}, y\right)=y^{2}+u_{n} y-2 u_{n}^{2}$ in the algorithm (3.1). For the initial conditions $x_{0}=-0.8,1$, $r_{n} \equiv 1, \alpha_{n}=\frac{1}{n}$, the picture (a) in Fig. 1 shows that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converge to the same value for the different initial points. For the initial conditions $r_{n}=10^{-4}, 1, n^{2}$, $x_{0}=1, \alpha_{n}=\frac{1}{n}$, the picture (b) in Fig. 1 shows that the different values of parameter sequence $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ do not significantly influence on the rates of convergence. Therefore, in a real world application, the parameter sequence $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ of algorithm (3.1) can be regarded as the constant 1.


Fig. 1. the convergence process of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ with different initial conditions.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

In the paper, we have investigated a fixed point problem of a closed multi-valued Bregman quasi-strict pseudocontraction and an equilibrium problem via hybrid Bregman projection methods, and obtained a strong convergence result. Furthermore, a kind of variational inequality problem has been solved as an application and a numerical example has been given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm.
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