

*Dedicated to Prof. Juan Nieto on the occasion of his 60<sup>th</sup> anniversary*

## Weighted G-Drazin inverse for operators on Banach spaces

DIJANA MOSIĆ

**ABSTRACT.** We define an extension of weighted G-Drazin inverses of rectangular matrices to operators between two Banach spaces. Some properties of weighted G-Drazin inverses are generalized and some new ones are proved. Using weighted G-Drazin inverses, we introduce and characterize a new weighted pre-order on the set of all bounded linear operators between two Banach spaces. As an application, we present and study the G-Drazin inverse and the G-Drazin partial order for operators on Banach space.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be arbitrary Banach spaces. We use  $\mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  to denote the set of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Set  $\mathbf{B}(X) = \mathbf{B}(X, X)$ . For  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$ , the notations  $N(A)$  and  $R(A)$  stand for the null space and the range of  $A$ , respectively.

An operator  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  is relatively regular if there exists some  $B \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X)$  such that  $ABA = A$ . The operator  $B$  is called an inner inverse of  $A$  and it is not unique. By  $A\{1\}$  we denote the set of all inner inverses of  $A$ . Recall that  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  is relatively regular if and only if  $N(A)$  and  $R(A)$  are closed and complemented subspaces of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. In the case that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hilbert spaces,  $A$  is relatively regular if and only if  $R(A)$  is closed.

Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X)$  be a fixed nonzero operator. An operator  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  is  $Wg$ -Drazin invertible if there exists a unique  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  such that

$$AWB = BWA, \quad BWAWB = B \quad \text{and} \quad A - AWBWA \text{ is quasinilpotent.}$$

The  $Wg$ -Drazin inverse  $B$  of  $A$  will be denoted by  $A^{d,W}$  [5]. In the case that  $A - AWBWA$  is nilpotent in the above definition,  $A^{d,W} = A^{D,W}$  is the  $W$ -weighted Drazin inverse of  $A$  [3, 16]. When  $X = Y$  and  $W = I$ , then  $A^d = A^{d,W}$  is the generalized Drazin inverse (or the Koliha-Drazin inverse) of  $A$  [8] and  $A^D = A^{D,W}$  is the Drazin inverse of  $A$ . The symbol  $\mathbf{B}(X)^d$  denotes the set of all generalized Drazin invertible operators of  $\mathbf{B}(X)$ . The group inverse is a particular case of Drazin inverse for which the condition  $A - ABA$  is nilpotent is replaced with  $A = ABA$ . By  $A^\#$  will be denoted the group inverse of  $A$ .

For  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  and  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X)$ , the following conditions are equivalent [5]:

- (1)  $A$  is  $Wg$ -Drazin invertible and  $A^{d,W} = B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$ ,
- (2)  $AW \in \mathbf{B}(Y)^d$  with  $(AW)^d = BW$ ,
- (3)  $WA \in \mathbf{B}(X)^d$  with  $(WA)^d = WB$ .

Then, the  $Wg$ -Drazin inverse  $A^{d,W}$  of  $A$  satisfies

$$A^{d,W} = ((AW)^d)^2 A = A((WA)^d)^2.$$

---

Received: 01.08.2018. In revised form: 10.01.2019. Accepted: 17.01.2019

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A05, 47A62, 47A99, 15A09.

Key words and phrases. *weighted G-Drazin inverse, Wg-Drazin inverse, G-Drazin partial order, minus partial order.*

**Lemma 1.1.** [5] *Let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  and  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $A$  is Wg-Drazin invertible if and only if there exist topological direct sums  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ ,  $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  such that*

$$(1.1) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_i \in \mathbf{B}(X_i, Y_i)$ ,  $W_i \in \mathbf{B}(Y_i, X_i)$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , with  $A_1, W_1$  invertible, and  $W_2 A_2$  and  $A_2 W_2$  quasinilpotent in  $\mathbf{B}(X_2)$  and  $\mathbf{B}(Y_2)$ , respectively. The Wg-Drazin inverse of  $A$  is given by

$$(1.2) \quad A^{d,W} = \begin{bmatrix} (W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $(W_1 A_1 W_1)^{-1} \in \mathbf{B}(X_1, Y_1)$  and the (2,2) matrix block satisfies that  $0 \in \mathbf{B}(X_2, Y_2)$ .

For recent results related to the (generalized) Drazin and (generalized) weighted Drazin inverse see [11, 12, 14, 17, 18, 20, 21].

Various kinds of pre-orders (i.e. reflexive and transitive binary relations) and partial orders were defined using various generalized inverses [1, 9, 10].

Let  $A, B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be relatively regular. Then  $A$  is said to be below  $B$  under the minus partial order (denoted by  $A \leq^- B$ ) if there exists an inner generalized inverse  $A^-$  of  $A$  such that  $AA^- = BA^-$  and  $A^-A = A^-B$ .

For  $A, B \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  such that  $A$  is group invertible, we say that  $A$  is below  $B$  under the sharp partial order ( $A \leq^\# B$ ) if  $A^\#A = A^\#B$  and  $AA^\# = BA^\#$ .

Let  $A, B \in \mathbf{B}(X)^d$ . The operator  $A$  is below to  $B$  under the generalized Drazin pre-order ( $A \leq^d B$ ) if  $A^2 A^d \leq^\# B^2 B^d$ . Recall that  $A \leq^d B$  if and only if  $A^d A = A^d B$  and  $AA^d = BA^d$  [15].

Let  $A, B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  and  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $A$  is Wg-Drazin invertible, then we say that  $A \leq^{d,W} B$  if  $AW \leq^d BW$  and  $WA \leq^d WB$ , where  $\leq^d$  is considered on  $\mathbf{B}(Y)$  and  $\mathbf{B}(X)$ , respectively. The relation  $\leq^{d,W}$  is a pre-order on the set of all Wg-Drazin invertible operators of  $\mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  [15]. For more related results see [6, 7, 13].

The G-Drazin inverse of a square matrix was defined in [19]. Coll, Lattanzi, and Thome [4] extended the notion of G-Drazin inverses to rectangular matrices considering a weight matrix. Let  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  denote the set of  $m \times n$  complex matrices. If  $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m} \setminus \{0\}$ , the  $W$ -weighted G-Drazin inverse of  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  is a matrix  $C$  satisfying the following three equations  $WAWCWAW = WAW$ ,  $(AW)^{k+1}CW = (AW)^k$ ,  $WC(WA)^{k+1} = (WA)^k$ , where  $k = \max\{\text{ind}(AW), \text{ind}(WA)\}$  and  $\text{ind}(D)$  is the index of  $D$ . If  $m = n$  and  $W = I$ , then  $C$  is a G-Drazin inverse of  $A$ . A new pre-order, which generalizes the G-Drazin partial order studied in [19] to the rectangular case, was also characterized in [4].

We introduce the definition of weighted G-Drazin inverses of an operator between two Banach spaces and prove that our definition and the above definition of weighted G-Drazin inverses for a rectangular matrix are equivalent in complex matrix case. Several new characterizations of weighted G-Drazin inverses are given and some known results are extended. Also, we define and investigate a new pre-order on the corresponding subset of all operators between two Banach spaces. As consequences of our results, we present definitions of the G-Drazin inverse and the G-Drazin partial order for operators on Banach spaces and give their new characterizations. Thus, the recent results from [4, 19] are extended to more general settings.

## 2. WEIGHTED G-DRAZIN INVERSES

In the beginning of this section, we define the weighted G-Drazin inverse of an operator between two Banach spaces as an extension of the weighted G-Drazin inverse for a rectangular matrix.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be  $Wg$ -Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  is relatively regular. An operator  $C \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  is a  $W$ -weighted G-Drazin inverse of  $A$  if the following equalities hold:

$$WAWCWAW = WAW \quad \text{and} \quad WA^{d,W}WAWCW = WCWA^{d,W}WAW.$$

We use  $A\{W - GD\}$  to denote the set of all  $W$ -weighted G-Drazin inverses of  $A$ . Obviously,  $A\{W - GD\} \subseteq (WAW)\{1\}$ . If  $AW$  (or equivalently  $WA$ ) is quasinilpotent, then  $(WAW)\{1\} \subseteq A\{W - GD\}$  and so  $A\{W - GD\} = (WAW)\{1\}$ .

Now, we present necessary and sufficient conditions for an operator to be a  $W$ -weighted G-Drazin inverse of a given operator.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be  $Wg$ -Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  is relatively regular. For  $C \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$ ;
- (ii)  $WAWCWAW = WAW$  and  $W(AW)^dAWCW = WCW(AW)^dAW$ ;
- (iii)  $WAWCWAW = WAW$  and  $(WA)^dWAWCW = WCW(AW)^dAW$ ;
- (iv)  $WAWCWAW = WAW$  and  $(WA)^d(WA)^2WCW = WAW(AW)^d = WCW(AW)^d(AW)^2$ ;
- (v)  $WAWCWAW = WAW$  and  $(WA)^dWAWCW = W(AW)^d = WCW(AW)^dAW$ ;
- (vi) there exist topological direct sums  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  and  $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} (W_1A_1W_1)^{-1} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_1$  and  $W_1$  are invertible,  $W_2A_2$  and  $A_2W_2$  are quasinilpotent,  $C_{12}W_2 = 0$ ,  $W_2C_{21} = 0$ ,  $W_2A_2W_2$  is relatively regular and  $C_2 \in (W_2A_2W_2)\{1\}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)-(iii): These equivalences follow by properties of the  $Wg$ -Drazin inverse.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Notice that

$$(WA)^d(WA)^2WCW = WA((WA)^dWAWCW) = (WAWCWAW)(AW)^d = WAW(AW)^d$$

and similarly  $WAW(AW)^d = WCW(AW)^d(AW)^2$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v): Multiplying  $(WA)^d(WA)^2WCW = WAW(AW)^d$  by  $(WA)^d$  from the left side, we get  $(WA)^dWAWCW = W(AW)^dAW(AW)^d = W(AW)^d$ . In an analogy way, we prove that  $W(AW)^d = WCBW(AW)^dAW$ .

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): This is clear.

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (vi): By Lemma 1.1, there exist topological direct sums  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  and  $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_1, W_1$  invertible, and  $W_2A_2$  and  $A_2W_2$  quasinilpotent in  $\mathbf{B}(X_2)$  and  $\mathbf{B}(Y_2)$ , respectively. Suppose that

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $WAW = \begin{bmatrix} W_1A_1W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2A_2W_2 \end{bmatrix}$  and

$$WAWCWAW = \begin{bmatrix} W_1A_1W_1C_1W_1A_1W_1 & W_1A_1W_1C_{12}W_2A_2W_2 \\ W_2A_2W_2C_{21}W_1A_1W_1 & W_2A_2W_2C_2W_2A_2W_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

then  $WAWCWAW = WAW$  if and only if  $C_1 = (W_1A_1W_1)^{-1}$ ,  $C_{12}W_2A_2W_2 = 0$ ,  $W_2A_2W_2C_{21} = 0$  and  $W_2A_2W_2C_2W_2A_2W_2 = W_2A_2W_2$ . By  $(AW)^d = \begin{bmatrix} (A_1W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

we get

$$W(AW)^dAWCW = \begin{bmatrix} W_1C_1W_1 & W_1C_{12}W_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$WCW(AW)^dAW = \begin{bmatrix} W_1C_1W_1 & 0 \\ W_2C_{21}W_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We deduce that  $W(AW)^dAWCW = WCW(AW)^dAW$  is equivalent to  $C_{12}W_2 = 0$  and  $W_2C_{21} = 0$ . Therefore, this equivalence holds.  $\square$

In the case that  $A$  is  $Wg$ -Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  is relatively regular, by Theorem 2.1(vi), notice that the  $W$ -weighted  $G$ -Drazin inverse of  $A$  exists and it is not unique.

We show that the Definition 2.1 and [4, Definition 2.1] are equivalent in the complex matrix case. Applying Theorem 2.1, we obtain new characterizations for the weighted  $G$ -Drazin inverse in the finite dimensional case.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ . For  $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$ ;
- (ii)  $WAWCWAW = WAW$  and  $W(AW)^DAWCW = WCW(AW)^D AW$ ;
- (iii)  $WAWCWAW = WAW$  and  $(WA)^D WAWCW = WCW(AW)^D AW$ ;
- (iv)  $WAWCWAW = WAW$  and  $(WA)^D(WA)^2WCW = WAW(AW)^D = WCW(AW)^D(AW)^2$ ;
- (v)  $WAWCWAW = WAW$  and  $(WA)^D WAWCW = W(AW)^D = WCW(AW)^D AW$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii): By [4, Theorem 2.2],  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$  if and only if  $WAWCWAW = WAW$  and  $W(AW)^k CW = WCW(AW)^k$ , for  $k = \max\{\text{ind}(AW), \text{ind}(WA)\}$ . Using properties of the Drazin inverse and  $WAWCWAW = WAW$ , we easily check that  $W(AW)^k CW = WCW(AW)^k$  is equivalent to  $W(AW)^D AWCW = WCW(AW)^D AW$ .

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii)-(v): It follows by Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be  $Wg$ -Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  is relatively regular. If  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$ , then  $(I - CWA)WAW$  and  $WAW(I - WAWC)$  are quasinilpotent.*

*Proof.* Using  $WAWCWAW = WAW$ , we have that

$$\sigma((I - CWA)WAW) = \sigma(WAW(I - CWA)) = \sigma(0) = \{0\},$$

i.e.  $(I - CWA)WAW$  is quasinilpotent. In a same manner, we obtain that  $WAW(I - WAWC)$  is quasinilpotent.  $\square$

Using corresponding idempotents, we give one more characterization for the  $W$ -weighted  $G$ -Drazin inverse, which is new in the finite dimensional case too.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be  $Wg$ -Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  is relatively regular. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A\{W - GD\} \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (ii) there exist idempotents  $P \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  and  $Q \in \mathbf{B}(Y)$  such that

$$R(P) = R(WAW), \quad N(Q) = N(WAW) \quad \text{and} \quad WA^{d,W}PW = WQA^{d,W}W.$$

In addition, for arbitrary  $(WAW)^- \in (WAW)\{1\}$ ,  $Q(WAW)^-P \in A\{W - GD\}$ , that is,

$$Q \cdot (WAW)\{1\} \cdot P \subseteq A\{W - GD\}.$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$ . Denote by  $P = WAWC$  and  $Q = CWA$ . Because  $C \in (WAW)\{1\}$ , then  $P = P^2$ ,  $Q = Q^2$ ,  $R(P) = R(WAW)$  and  $N(Q) = N(WAW)$ . Also, we get

$$WA^{d,W}PW = WA^{d,W}WAWCW = WCWA^{d,W}WAW = WQA^{d,W}W.$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Suppose that  $(WAW)^- \in (WAW)\{1\}$  and  $C = Q(WAW)^-P$ . The assumption  $R(P) = R(WAW)$  gives  $P = WAW(WAW)^-P$  and  $WAW = PWAW$ . Since  $N(Q) = N(WAW)$ , then  $R(I - Q) = N(WAW)$  and  $N(Q) = N((WAW)^-WAW) = R(I - (WAW)^-WAW)$  which imply  $WAW = WAWQ$  and  $Q = Q(WAW)^-WAW$ . Hence,

$$WAWCWAW = (WAWQ)(WAW)^-(PWAW) = WAW(WAW)^-WAW = WAW$$

and, by  $WA^{d,W}PW = WQA^{d,W}W$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} WA^{d,W}WAWCW &= WA^{d,W}(WAWQ)(WAW)^-PW = WA^{d,W}(WAW(WAW)^-P)W \\ &= WA^{d,W}PW = WQA^{d,W}W = WQ(WAW)^-WAWA^{d,W}W \\ &= WQ(WAW)^-PWAWA^{d,W}W = WCWAWA^{d,W}W, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$ . □

Also, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be Wg-Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  is relatively regular. Then*

$$A\{W - GD\} \cdot WAW \cdot A\{W - GD\} \subseteq A\{W - GD\}.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $C, C' \in A\{W - GD\}$  and  $Z = CWA$ . We observe that  $Z \in A\{W - GD\}$ , by

$$WAWZWAW = (WAWCWAW)C'WAW = WAWC'WAW = WAW$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} WA^{d,W}WAWZW &= (WA^{d,W}WAWCW)AWC'W = WCWA(WA^{d,W}WAWC'W) \\ &= WCWAWC'WAWA^{d,W}W = WZWAWA^{d,W}W. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3. WEIGHTED G-DRAZIN PRE-ORDER

Firstly, we introduce a new binary relation on  $\mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  generalizing the definition of the weighted G-Drazin relation presented in [4] for complex rectangular matrices to the class of bounded linear operators between Banach spaces.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be Wg-Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  is relatively regular. Then we say that  $A$  is below to  $B$  under the  $W$ -weighted G-Drazin relation (denoted by  $A \leq^{GD,W} B$ ) if there exist  $C_1, C_2 \in A\{W - GD\}$  such that

$$WAWC_1 = BWC_1 \quad \text{and} \quad C_2WAW = C_2WBW.$$

We characterize the relation  $\leq^{GD,W}$  in the following theorem, extending some results from [4].

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be Wg-Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  is relatively regular. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A \leq^{GD,W} B$ ;

(ii) there exist  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$  such that

$$WAWC = WBWC \quad \text{and} \quad CWA = CWB;$$

(iii) there exist topological direct sums  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  and  $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_3 \\ B_4 & B_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_1$  and  $W_1$  are invertible,  $W_2A_2$  and  $A_2W_2$  are quasinilpotent,  $B_3W_2 = 0$ ,  $W_2B_4 = 0$ ,  $W_2A_2W_2$  is relatively regular and  $W_2A_2W_2 \leq^- W_2B_2W_2$ .

In addition, if  $B$  is  $Wg$ -Drazin invertible such that  $WBW$  is relatively regular, then  $D \in B\{W - GD\}$  if and only if

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} (W_1A_1W_1)^{-1} & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & D_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $D_{12}W_2 = 0$ ,  $W_2D_{21} = 0$  and  $D_2 \in B_2\{W_2 - GD\}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): The proof is analogous to that given in [4, Theorem 3.1].

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Assume that there exist  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$  such that  $WAWC = WBWC$  and  $CWA = CWB$ . By Theorem 2.1(vi), there exist topological direct sums  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  and  $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} (W_1A_1W_1)^{-1} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_1$  and  $W_1$  are invertible,  $W_2A_2$  and  $A_2W_2$  are quasinilpotent,  $C_{12}W_2 = 0$ ,  $W_2C_{21} = 0$ ,  $W_2A_2W_2$  is relatively regular and  $C_2 \in (W_2A_2W_2)\{1\}$ . Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_3 \\ B_4 & B_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The equalities  $WAWC = WBWC$ ,

$$WAWC = \begin{bmatrix} I & W_1A_1W_1C_{12} \\ 0 & W_2A_2W_2C_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$WBWC = \begin{bmatrix} W_1B_1(W_1A_1)^{-1} & W_1B_1W_1C_{12} + W_1B_3W_2C_2 \\ W_2B_4(W_1A_1)^{-1} & W_2B_4W_1C_{12} + W_2B_2W_2C_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

imply  $B_1 = A_1$ ,  $W_2B_4 = 0$  and  $W_2A_2W_2C_2 = W_2B_2W_2C_2$ . From  $CWA = CWB$ ,

$$CWA = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ C_{21}W_1A_1W_1 & C_2W_2A_2W_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$CWB = \begin{bmatrix} I & (A_1W_1)^{-1}B_3W_2 \\ C_{21}W_1A_1W_1 & C_{21}W_1B_3W_2 + C_2W_2B_2W_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

we get  $B_3W_2 = 0$  and  $C_2W_2A_2W_2 = C_2W_2B_2W_2$ . So,  $W_2A_2W_2 \leq^- W_2B_2W_2$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): By the hypothesis  $W_2A_2W_2 \leq^- W_2B_2W_2$ , there exists  $C_2 \in (W_2A_2W_2)\{1\}$  such that  $W_2A_2W_2C_2 = W_2B_2W_2C_2$  and  $C_2W_2A_2W_2 = C_2W_2B_2W_2$ . Suppose that

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} (W_1A_1W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Applying Theorem 2.1(vi), we deduce that  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$ . Notice that  $WAWC = WBWC$  and  $CWA = CWB$  which imply  $A \leq^{GD, W} B$ .

Assume that  $D \in B\{W - GD\}$  and

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & D_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $WBW = WBWDWBW$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} W_1A_1W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2B_2W_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} W_1A_1W_1D_1W_1A_1W_1 & W_1A_1W_1D_{12}W_2B_2W_2 \\ W_2B_2W_2D_{21}W_1A_1W_1 & W_2B_2W_2D_2W_2B_2W_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which yields  $D_1 = (W_1A_1W_1)^{-1}$ ,  $D_{12}W_2B_2W_2 = 0$ ,  $W_2B_2W_2D_{21} = 0$  and  $W_2B_2W_2 = W_2B_2W_2D_2W_2B_2W_2$ . Using [2, Theorem 2.3], we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad BW = \begin{bmatrix} A_1W_1 & 0 \\ B_4W_1 & B_2W_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (BW)^d = \begin{bmatrix} (A_1W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ S & (B_2W_2)^d \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $S = B_4W_1(A_1W_1)^{-2}$  by  $(B_2W_2)^dB_4 = [(B_2W_2)^d]^2B_2W_2B_4 = 0$ . Now, we have that  $W_2S = 0$ ,

$$W(BW)^dBWDW = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1} & W_1D_{12}W_2 \\ 0 & W_2(B_2W_2)^dB_2W_2D_2W_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$WDW(BW)^dBW = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1} & 0 \\ W_2D_{21}W_1 & W_2D_2W_2(B_2W_2)^dB_2W_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The equality  $W(BW)^dBWDW = WDW(BW)^dBW$  gives  $D_{12}W_2 = 0$ ,  $W_2D_{21} = 0$  and  $W_2(B_2W_2)^dB_2W_2D_2W_2 = W_2D_2W_2(B_2W_2)^dB_2W_2$ . Hence,  $D_2 \in B_2\{W_2 - GD\}$ .

If

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} (W_1A_1W_1)^{-1} & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & D_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $D_{12}W_2 = 0$ ,  $W_2D_{21} = 0$  and  $D_2 \in B_2\{W_2 - GD\}$ , by elementary computations, we verify that  $D \in B\{W - GD\}$ .  $\square$

Remark that  $A \leq^{GD,W} B$  implies  $WAW \leq^- WBW$ , because  $A\{W - GD\} \subseteq (WAW)\{1\}$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $A, B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be  $Wg$ -Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  and  $WBW$  are relatively regular. If  $A \leq^{GD,W} B$ , then

$$B\{W - GD\} \subseteq A\{W - GD\}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that given in [4, Corollary 3.2].  $\square$

Before we prove that the  $W$ -weighted G-Drazin relation is a pre-order, recall that the  $W$ -weighted G-Drazin relation is not antisymmetric (see [4, Example 3.1]).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$ . The  $W$ -weighted G-Drazin relation is a pre-order on the set  $\{A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y) : A \text{ is } Wg\text{-Drazin invertible such that } WAW \text{ is relatively regular}\}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that given in [4, Theorem 3.3].  $\square$

We give equivalent conditions for  $A \leq^{GD,W} B$  to be satisfied, generalizing those in [4, Theorem 3.4] and adding the new condition (vii).

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be  $Wg$ -Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  is relatively regular. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $A \leq^{GD,W} B$ ;
- (ii)  $WAW \leq^- WBW$ ,  $(AW)^dBW = (AW)^dAW$  and  $WB(WA)^d = WA(WA)^d$ ;
- (iii)  $WAW \leq^- WBW$ ,  $N((AW)^d) \subseteq N((AW)^dBW)$  and  $R(WB(WA)^d) \subseteq R((WA)^d)$ ;
- (iv)  $WAW \leq^- WBW$  and  $W(AW)^dBW = WB(WA)^dW$ ;
- (v)  $WAW \leq^- WBW$  and  $WA^{d,W}WBW = WBWA^{d,W}W$ ;

- (vi) (a) *There exists  $A^{W-GD} \in A\{W - GD\}$  such that  $WAWA^{W-GD}WBW = WAW = WBWA^{W-GD}WAW$ .*  
 (b) *For every  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$ ,  $WCW(AW)^dBW = WB(WA)^dWCW$ .*  
 (vii) *There exist idempotents  $P \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  and  $Q \in \mathbf{B}(Y)$  such that  $R(P) = R(WAW)$ ,  $N(Q) = N(WAW)$ ,  $WA^{d,W}PW = WQA^{d,W}W$  and  $PWBW = WAW = WBWQ$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Applying Theorem 3.4, there exist topological direct sums  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  and  $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_3 \\ B_4 & B_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_1$  and  $W_1$  are invertible,  $W_2A_2$  and  $A_2W_2$  are quasnilpotent,  $B_3W_2 = 0$ ,  $W_2B_4 = 0$ ,  $W_2A_2W_2$  is relatively regular and  $W_2A_2W_2 \leq^- W_2B_2W_2$ . Thus, there exists  $C_2 \in (W_2A_2W_2)\{1\}$  such that  $W_2A_2W_2C_2 = W_2B_2W_2C_2$  and  $C_2W_2A_2W_2 = C_2W_2B_2W_2$ . Set

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} (W_1A_1W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $WAWCWA = WAW$ ,  $WAWC = WBWC$  and  $CWA = CWB$  yield  $WAW \leq^- WBW$ . Furthermore, we obtain

$$(AW)^dBW = \begin{bmatrix} (A_1W_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1W_1 & 0 \\ B_4W_1 & B_2W_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (AW)^dAW$$

and similarly  $WB(WA)^d = WA(WA)^d$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): This implication is clear.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $A$  and  $W$  be represented as in (1.1). If

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_3 \\ B_4 & B_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$(AW)^dBW = \begin{bmatrix} (A_1W_1)^{-1}B_1W_1 & (A_1W_1)^{-1}B_3W_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (AW)^dAW = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because  $N((AW)^dAW) = N((AW)^d) \subseteq N((AW)^dBW)$ , we have that  $B_3W_2 = 0$ . Also  $R(WB(WA)^d) \subseteq R((WA)^d)$ ,

$$WB(WA)^d = \begin{bmatrix} W_1B_1(W_1A_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ W_2B_4(W_1A_1)^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (WA)^dAW = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

imply  $W_2B_4 = 0$ .

The assumption  $WAW \leq^- WBW$  implies that there exists  $C \in (WAW)\{1\}$  such that  $WAWC = WBWC$  and  $CWA = CWB$ . Let

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_3 \\ C_4 & C_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From  $WAWCWA = WAW$ , we get  $C_1 = (W_1A_1W_1)^{-1}$ ,  $C_3W_2A_2W_2 = 0$ ,  $W_2A_2W_2C_4 = 0$  and  $W_2A_2W_2C_2W_2A_2W_2 = W_2A_2W_2$ . By  $WAWC = WBWC$ , we have that  $B_1 = A_1$  and  $W_2A_2W_2C_2 = W_2B_2W_2C_2$ . Also,  $CWA = CWB$  gives  $C_2W_2A_2W_2 = C_2W_2B_2W_2$ . Hence,  $W_2A_2W_2 \leq^- W_2B_2W_2$  and, by Theorem 3.4,  $A \leq^{GD,W} B$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Consequently, by  $(AW)^dA = A(WA)^d$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Suppose that  $A$  and  $W$  are given as in (1.1) and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_3 \\ B_4 & B_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1}B_1W_1 & A_1^{-1}B_3W_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = W(AW)^d BW = WB(WA)^d W = \begin{bmatrix} W_1B_1A_1^{-1} & 0 \\ W_2B_4A_1^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

then  $B_3W_2 = 0$  and  $W_2B_4 = 0$ . Using the condition  $WAW \leq^- WBW$ , the rest follows as in part (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

(iv)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v): This equivalence is obvious.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi): Assume that  $A, W, B$  and  $C$  are represented as in the part (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). By Theorem 2.1, we deduce that  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$ . Also, we can verify that  $WAWCWBW = WAW = WBWCWAW$ . Thus, the part (a) is satisfied.

To prove that part (b) holds, we suppose that  $C' \in A\{W - GD\}$ . Applying Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$C' = \begin{bmatrix} (W_1A_1W_1)^{-1} & C'_{12} \\ C'_{21} & C'_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $C'_{12}W_2 = 0, W_2C'_{21} = 0, W_2A_2W_2$  is relatively regular and  $C'_2 \in (W_2A_2W_2)\{1\}$ . Then

$$WC'W(AW)^d BW = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = WB(WA)^d WC'W.$$

(vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): If  $A^{W-GD} \in A\{W - GD\}$  such that  $WAWA^{W-GD}WBW = WAW = WBWA^{W-GD}WAW$ , by Theorem 2.1, there exist topological direct sums  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  and  $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^{W-GD} = \begin{bmatrix} (W_1A_1W_1)^{-1} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_1$  and  $W_1$  are invertible,  $W_2A_2$  and  $A_2W_2$  are quasinilpotent,  $C_{12}W_2 = 0, W_2C_{21} = 0, W_2A_2W_2$  is relatively regular and  $C_2 \in (W_2A_2W_2)\{1\}$ . For

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_3 \\ B_4 & B_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

we get

$$WA^{W-GD}W(AW)^d BW = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1}(A_1W_1)^{-1}B_1W_1 & A_1^{-1}(A_1W_1)^{-1}B_3W_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$WB(WA)^d WA^{W-GD}W = \begin{bmatrix} W_1B_1(W_1A_1)^{-1}A_1^{-1} & 0 \\ W_2B_4(W_1A_1)^{-1}A_1^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now,  $WA^{W-GD}W(AW)^d BW = WB(WA)^d WA^{W-GD}W$  gives  $B_3W_2 = 0$  and  $W_2B_4 = 0$ . From

$$WAW = \begin{bmatrix} W_1A_1W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2A_2W_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$WAWA^{W-GD}WBW = \begin{bmatrix} W_1B_1W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2A_2W_2C_2W_2B_2W_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $WAWA^{W-GD}WBW = WAW$ , we obtain  $B_1 = A_1$  and  $W_2A_2W_2C_2W_2B_2W_2 = W_2A_2W_2$ . By

$$WBWA^{W-GD}WAW = \begin{bmatrix} W_1A_1W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2B_2W_2C_2W_2A_2W_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $WAW = WBWA^{W-GD}WAW$ , we have that  $W_2B_2W_2C_2W_2A_2W_2 = W_2A_2W_2$ . Set  $C'_2 = C_2W_2A_2W_2C_2$ . Then  $C'_2 \in (W_2A_2W_2)\{1\}, W_2A_2W_2C'_2 = W_2B_2W_2C'_2$  and  $C'_2W_2A_2W_2 = C'_2W_2B_2W_2$ . So,  $W_2A_2W_2 \leq^- W_2B_2W_2$  and, by Theorem 3.4,  $A \leq^{GD, W} B$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (vii): By Theorem 3.4, there exist  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$  such that  $WAWC = WBWC$  and  $CWAW = CWBW$ . For  $P = WAWC$  and  $Q = CWAW$ , we obtain  $R(P) = R(WAW)$ ,  $N(Q) = N(WAW)$  and  $WA^{d,W}PW = WQA^{d,W}W$  as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 (part (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)). We also have

$$WAW = WAW(CWAW) = WAWCWBW = PWBW$$

and in the same way  $WAW = WBWQ$ .

(vii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Suppose that there exist idempotents  $P \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  and  $Q \in \mathbf{B}(Y)$  such that  $R(P) = R(WAW)$ ,  $N(Q) = N(WAW)$ ,  $WA^{d,W}PW = WQA^{d,W}W$  and  $PWBW = WAW = WBWQ$ . Set  $C = Q(WAW)^{-}P$ , for  $(WAW)^{-} \in (WAW)\{1\}$ . Using Theorem 2.2, we deduce that  $C \in A\{W - GD\}$ . Now, by  $WAW = WAWQ = PWA$ , we get

$$WBWC = (WBWQ)(WAW)^{-}P = WAW(WAW)^{-}P = WAWQ(WAW)^{-}P = WAWC$$

and analogously  $CWBW = CWAW$ . So,  $A \leq^{GD,W} B$ .  $\square$

By [4, Example 3.2], we observe that none of relations  $\leq^{d,W}$  and  $\leq^{GD,W}$  implies other one. In the next result, we prove that  $A \leq^{d,W} B$  and  $WAW \leq^{-} WBW$  give  $A \leq^{GD,W} B$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be Wg-Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  is relatively regular. If  $A \leq^{d,W} B$  and  $WAW \leq^{-} WBW$ , then  $A \leq^{GD,W} B$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that given in [4, Lemma 3.2].  $\square$

The result given in [4, Theorem 3.5] is also valid for operators on Banach spaces.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $A, B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be Wg-Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  is relatively regular. If  $A \leq^{GD,W} B$ , then  $WA^{d,W}W$  is relatively regular and  $WA^{d,W}W \leq^{-} WB^{d,W}W$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A, W$  and  $B$  be represented as in Theorem 3.4(iii). Since (3.3) holds, then

$$WB^{d,W}W = W(BW)^d = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & W_2(B_2W_2)^d \end{bmatrix}.$$

We observe that  $WA^{d,W}W = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is relatively regular. Set  $U = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Now, we have that  $U \in (WA^{d,W}W)\{1\}$ ,

$$WA^{d,W}WU = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = WB^{d,W}WU$$

and

$$UWA^{d,W}W = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = UW B^{d,W}W,$$

that is  $WA^{d,W}W \leq^{-} WB^{d,W}W$ .  $\square$

Recall that if  $A, B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  are relatively regular, then  $A \leq^{-} B$  if and only if  $B - A \leq^{-} B$ . As in [4, Proposition 3.1], the following result holds.

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $W \in \mathbf{B}(Y, X) \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $A, B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be Wg-Drazin invertible such that  $WAW$  and  $WBW$  are relatively regular. If  $B - A$  is Wg-Drazin invertible,  $A \leq^{GD,W} B$  and  $A, W$  and  $B$  are represented as in Theorem 3.4(iii), then the following conditions are equivalent*

- (i)  $B - A \leq^{GD,W} B$ ;
- (ii)  $B_2 - A_2 \leq^{GD,W} B_2$ .

*Proof.* We see that  $WAW$ ,  $WBW$ ,  $W_2A_2W_2$  and  $W_2B_2W_2$  are relatively regular. Using Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.6, we deduce that  $WAW \leq^- WBW$  and  $W_2A_2W_2 \leq^- W_2B_2W_2$  which is equivalent to  $W(B-A)W \leq^- WBW$  and  $W_2(B_2-A_2)W_2 \leq^- W_2B_2W_2$ . Because  $B - A$  is  $Wg$ -Drazin invertible, then  $(B - A)W$  and  $W(B - A)$  are generalized Drazin invertible,

$$((B - A)W)^d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B_4W_1 & (B_2 - A_2)W_2 \end{bmatrix}^d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ((B_2 - A_2)W_2)^d \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(W(B - A))^d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & W_1B_3 \\ 0 & W_2(B_2 - A_2) \end{bmatrix}^d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (W_2(B_2 - A_2))^d \end{bmatrix}.$$

From

$$W((B - A)W)^dBW = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2((B_2 - A_2)W_2)^dW_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$WB(W(B - A))^dW = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2B_2(W_2(B_2 - A_2))^dW_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

we deduce that  $W((B - A)W)^dBW = WB(W(B - A))^dW$  is equivalent to  $W_2((B_2 - A_2)W_2)^dW_2 = W_2B_2(W_2(B_2 - A_2))^dW_2$ . Hence, by Theorem 3.6, (i) and (ii) are equivalent.  $\square$

#### 4. G-DRAZIN INVERSES

If  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  and  $W = I \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  in results of Section 2 and Section 3, we obtain definitions and characterizations of the G-Drazin inverse and the G-Drazin partial order for operators on Banach space. Thus, we extend recent results from [4, 19] and present some new results.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  be generalized Drazin invertible such that  $A$  is relatively regular. An operator  $C \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  is a G-Drazin inverse of  $A$  if the following equalities hold:

$$ACA = A \quad \text{and} \quad A^dAC = CA^dA.$$

Denote by  $A\{GD\}$  the set of all G-Drazin inverses of  $A$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  be generalized Drazin invertible such that  $A$  is relatively regular. For  $C \in \mathbf{B}(X)$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $C \in A\{GD\}$ ;
- (ii)  $ACA = A$  and  $A^dA^2C = AA^d = CA^dA^2$ ;
- (iii)  $ACA = A$  and  $A^dAC = A^d = CA^dA$ ;
- (iv)  $ACA = A$  and  $A^dC = CA^d$ ;
- (v) there exist a topological direct sum  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_1$  is invertible,  $A_2$  is quasinilpotent,  $A_2$  is relatively regular and  $C_2 \in A_2\{1\}$ .

*Proof.* We need only to prove that (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv). Because the group inverse is double commutative and  $(A^dA^2)^\# = A^d$ , we conclude that  $A^dA^2C = CA^dA^2$  is equivalent to  $A^dC = CA^d$ . We observe that  $A^dC = CA^d$  and  $ACA = A$  give  $A^dA^2C = A^2CA^d = A^2CA(A^d)^2 = A^2(A^d)^2 = AA^d$  and also  $AA^d = CA^dA^2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  be generalized Drazin invertible such that  $A$  is relatively regular. If  $C \in A\{GD\}$ , then  $(I - CA)A$  and  $A(I - AC)$  are quasinilpotent.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  be generalized Drazin invertible such that  $A$  is relatively regular. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $A\{GD\} \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (ii) there exist idempotents  $P \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  and  $Q \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  such that

$$R(P) = R(A), \quad N(Q) = N(A) \quad \text{and} \quad A^d P = Q A^d.$$

In addition, for arbitrary  $A^- \in A\{1\}$ ,  $Q A^- P \in A\{GD\}$ , that is,

$$Q \cdot A\{1\} \cdot P \subseteq A\{GD\}.$$

**Corollary 4.6.** Let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  be generalized Drazin invertible such that  $A$  is relatively regular. Then

$$A\{GD\} \cdot A \cdot A\{GD\} \subseteq A\{GD\}.$$

The definition of the G-Drazin relation is stated now in the Banach space setting.

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  be generalized Drazin invertible such that  $A$  is relatively regular. Then we say that  $A$  is below to  $B$  under the G-Drazin relation (denoted by  $A \leq^{GD} B$ ) if there exist  $C_1, C_2 \in A\{GD\}$  such that

$$A C_1 = B C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 A = C_2 B.$$

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  be generalized Drazin invertible such that  $A$  is relatively regular. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $A \leq^{GD} B$ ;
- (ii) there exist  $C \in A\{GD\}$  such that

$$A C = B C \quad \text{and} \quad C A = C B;$$

- (iii) there exist topological direct sum  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_1$  is invertible,  $A_2$  is quasinilpotent,  $A_2$  is relatively regular and  $A_2 \leq^- B_2$ .

In addition, if  $B$  is generalized Drazin invertible such that  $B$  is relatively regular, then  $D \in B\{GD\}$  if and only if

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & D_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $D_2 \in B_2\{GD\}$ .

It is interesting to note that the G-Drazin relation is a partial order.

**Corollary 4.8.** The G-Drazin relation is a partial order on the set  $\{A \in \mathbf{B}(X)^d : A \text{ is relatively regular}\}$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that the G-Drazin relation is antisymmetric. Assume that  $A, B \in \mathbf{B}(X)^d$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are relatively regular,  $A \leq^{GD} B$  and  $B \leq^{GD} A$ . There exists  $D \in B\{GD\}$  such that  $BD = AD$  and  $DB = DA$ . Notice that  $A, B$  and  $D$  can be represented as in Corollary 4.7 and so  $A_2 \leq^- B_2$ . The equalities  $BD = AD$  and  $DB = DA$  give  $B_2 D_2 = A_2 D_2$  and  $D_2 B_2 = D_2 A_2$ , that is  $B_2 \leq^- A_2$ . Since  $\leq^-$  is antisymmetric, then  $A_2 = B_2$ . Thus,  $A = B$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.9.** Let  $B \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  be generalized Drazin invertible such that  $A$  is relatively regular. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $A \leq^{GD} B$ ;
- (ii)  $A \leq^- B$ ,  $A^d B = A^d A$  and  $B A^d = A A^d$ ;

- (iii)  $A \leq^- B$ ,  $N(A^d) \subseteq N(A^d B)$  and  $R(BA^d) \subseteq R(A^d)$ ;
- (iv)  $A \leq^- B$  and  $A^d B = BA^d$ ;
- (v)  $A \leq^- B$  and  $A \leq^d B$ ;
- (vi) (a) There exists  $A^{GD} \in A\{GD\}$  such that  $AA^{GD}B = A = BA^{GD}A$ .  
(b) For every  $C \in A\{GD\}$ ,  $CA^d B = BA^d C$ .
- (vii) There exist idempotents  $P, Q \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  such that  $R(P) = R(A)$ ,  $N(Q) = N(A)$ ,  $A^d P = QA^d$  and  $PB = A = BQ$ .

**Corollary 4.10.** Let  $A, B \in \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$  be generalized Drazin invertible such that  $A$  is relatively regular. If  $A \leq^{GD} B$ , then  $A^d$  is relatively regular and  $A^d \leq^- B^d$ .

**Corollary 4.11.** Let  $A, B \in \mathbf{B}(X)$  be generalized Drazin invertible such that  $A$  and  $B$  are relatively regular. If  $B - A$  is generalized Drazin invertible,  $A \leq^{GD} B$  and  $A, B$  are represented as in Corollary 4.7(iii), then the following conditions are equivalent

- (i)  $B - A \leq^{GD} B$ ;
- (ii)  $B_2 - A_2 \leq^{GD} B_2$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The author is supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia, grant number 174007.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Burgos, M., Márquez-García, A. C. and Morales-Campoy, A., *Maps preserving the diamond partial order*, Appl. Math. Comput., **296** (2017), 137–147
- [2] Castro González, N. and Koliha, J. J., *New additive results for the g-Drazin inverse*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **134** (2004), 1085–1097
- [3] Cline, R. E. and Greville, T. N. E., *A Drazin inverse for rectangular matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., **29** (1980), 53–62
- [4] Coll, C., Lattanzi, M. and Thome, N., *Weighted G-Drazin inverses and a new pre-order on rectangular matrices*, Appl. Math. Comput., **317** (2018), 12–24
- [5] Dajić, A. and Koliha, J. J., *The weighted g-Drazin inverse for operators*, J. Australian Math. Soc., **82** (2007), 163–181
- [6] Hernández, A., Lattanzi, M. and Thome, N., *On some new pre-orders defined by weighted Drazin inverses*, Appl. Math. Comput., **282** (2016), 108–116
- [7] Hernández, A., Lattanzi, M. and Thome, N., *Weighted binary relations involving the Drazin inverse*, Appl. Math. Comput., **253** (2015), 215–223
- [8] Koliha, J. J., *A generalized Drazin inverse*, Glasgow Math. J., **38** (1996), 367–381
- [9] Marovt, J., *On star, sharp, core and minus partial orders in Rickart rings*, Banach J. Math. Anal., **10** (2016), No. 3, 495–508
- [10] Mitra, S. K., Bhimasankaram, P. and Malik, S. B., *Matrix partial orders, shorted operators and applications*, World Scientific Publishing Company, 2010
- [11] Mosić, D., *Extensions of Jacobson's lemma for Drazin inverses*, Aequat. Math., **91** (2017), No. 3, 419–428
- [12] Mosić, D., *Reverse order laws on the conditions of the commutativity up to a factor*, Revista de La Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas, **111** (2017), 685–695
- [13] Mosić, D., *Weighted binary relations for operators on Banach spaces*, Aequat. Math., **90** (2016), No. 4, 787–798
- [14] Mosić, D. and Djordjević, D. S., *Some additive results for the Wg-Drazin inverse of Banach space operators*, Carpathian J. Math., **32** (2016), No. 2, 215–223
- [15] Mosić, D. and Djordjević, D. S., *Weighted pre-orders involving the generalized Drazin inverse*, Appl. Math. Comput., **270** (2015), 496–504
- [16] Rakočević, V. and Wei, Y., *A weighted Drazin inverse and applications*, Linear Algebra Appl., **350** (2002), 25–39
- [17] Robles, J., Martínez-Serrano, M. F. and Dopazo, E., *On the generalized Drazin inverse in Banach algebras in terms of the generalized Schur complement*, Appl. Math. Comput., **284** (2016), 162–168
- [18] Srivastava, S., Gupta, D. K., Stanimirović, P. S., Singh, S. and Roy, F., *A hyperpower iterative method for computing the generalized Drazin inverse of Banach algebra element*, Sādhanā, **42** (2017), No. 5, 625–630
- [19] Wang, H. and Liu, v., *Partial orders based on core-nilpotent decomposition*, Linear Algebra Appl., **488** (2016), 235–248
- [20] Wang, X. Z., Ma, H. and Stanimirović, P. S., *Recurrent neural network for computing the W-weighted Drazin inverse*, Appl. Math. Comput., **300** (2017), 1–20

- [21] Zhang, X. and Sheng, X., *Two methods for computing the Drazin inverse through elementary row operations*, *Filomat*, **30** (2016), No. 14, 3759–3770

UNIVERSITY OF NIŠ  
FACULTY OF SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS  
P.O. BOX 224, 18000 NIŠ, SERBIA  
*E-mail address*: dijana@pmf.ni.ac.rs