

*Dedicated to Prof. Qamrul Hasan Ansari on the occasion of his 60<sup>th</sup> anniversary*

## A cyclic coordinate-update fixed point algorithm

BO PENG and HONG-KUN XU

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that a cyclic coordinate fixed point algorithm for nonexpansive mappings when the underlying Hilbert space is decomposed into a Cartesian product of finitely many block spaces is weakly convergent to a fixed point of the mapping under investigation. Our result relaxes a condition imposed on the stepsizes of Theorem 3.4 of Chow, et al [Chow, Y. T., Wu, T. and Yin, W., *Cyclic coordinate-update algorithms for fixed-point problems: analysis and applications*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., **39** (2017), No. 4, A1280–A1300].

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $\| \cdot \|$ , respectively. Consider the problem of finding a zero of a maximal monotone operator  $S$ :

$$(1.1) \quad Sx = 0,$$

where  $S : H \rightarrow H$  is a maximal monotone operator. Assume  $S$  is of the form

$$(1.2) \quad S = I - T,$$

where  $T : H \rightarrow H$  is a nonexpansive mapping (i.e.,  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$  for all  $x, y \in H$ ). Consequently,  $S$  is Lipschitzian with Lipschitz constant not bigger than two. We use  $\text{zer}(S)$  and  $\text{Fix}(T)$  to denote the set of solutions of Eq. (1.1) and the set of fixed points of  $T$ , respectively. It is evident that  $\text{zer}(S) = \text{Fix}(T) = \{x \in H : Tx = x\}$ . We always assume that the solution set  $\text{zer}(S)$  (or  $\text{Fix}(T)$ ) is nonempty. Note that in our setting, finding a zero of  $S$  is equivalent to finding a fixed point of  $T$ . Therefore, the Krasnoselskii-Mann algorithm (KM) [4, 6] is applicable to Eq. (1.1). Recall that KM generates a sequence  $(x^k)$  through the iteration scheme:

$$(1.3) \quad x^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha_k)x^k + \alpha_kTx^k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where the initial guess  $x^0 \in H$  is chosen arbitrarily, and  $\alpha_k \in [0, 1]$  for all  $k$ .

The KM (1.3) has extensively been studied (see [5, 8, 10, 13, 15] and references therein). A basic convergence result of KM (1.3) is given below.

**Theorem 1.1.** (cf. [12]) *Suppose  $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$  and the stepsizes  $(\alpha_k)$  satisfies the divergence condition:*

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k(1 - \alpha_k) = \infty.$$

*Then the sequence  $(x^k)$  generated by KM (1.3) converges weakly to a point in  $\text{Fix}(T)$ .*

---

Received: 08.05.2019. In revised form: 16.08.2019. Accepted: 23.08.2019

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 90C25, 90C52, 65K10, 47J25.

Key words and phrases. Krasnoselskii-Mann, maximal monotone operator, nonexpansive mapping, cyclic coordinate-update, fixed point algorithm.

Corresponding author: Hong-Kun Xu; xuhk@hdu.edu.cn

Note that a standard choice of the stepsizes  $(\alpha_k)$  that satisfies the divergence condition (1.4) is given by

$$(1.5) \quad \alpha_k = \frac{1}{k^\tau}, \quad k \geq 1, \text{ with } 0 < \tau \leq 1.$$

Chow, et al [1] applied KM (1.3) to find a zero of a maximal monotone mapping  $S = I - T$  (with  $T$  being nonexpansive) in the case where the underlying space  $H$  is decomposed into a Cartesian product of finitely many block spaces:

$$(1.6) \quad H = H_1 \times H_2 \times \cdots \times H_m$$

where  $m \geq 1$  is an integer, and  $H_i$  is a Hilbert space for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . In this framework, each  $x \in H$  is decomposed into  $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ , where  $x_i$  denotes the  $i$ th coordinate of  $x$  (we write  $(x)_i = x_i$ ); i.e., the projection of  $x$  onto the  $i$ th block space  $H_i$ .

Basing on KM (1.3), Chow, et al [1] introduced a cyclic coordinate-update algorithm [1, Algorithm 1, page A1283], and proved [1, Theorem 3.4, page A1288] the weak convergence of their Algorithm 1 under the assumption that the stepsizes  $(\alpha_k)$  are chosen as

$$(1.7) \quad \alpha_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove that [1, Algorithm 1] remains to be weakly convergent to a solution of Eq. (1.1) if the stepsizes  $(\alpha_k)$  are chosen to satisfy the following two conditions:

$$(\alpha 1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty; \quad (\alpha 2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k^3 < \infty.$$

A particular choice is given by  $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{k^\tau}$  for  $k \geq 1$  with  $\frac{1}{3} < \tau \leq 1$ . This includes the choice (1.7) by letting  $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The following two lemmas are useful for proving the convergence of our algorithm in this paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** [11] Assume  $(a_k)$  is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers with the property:

$$a_{k+1} \leq (1 + r_k)a_k + b_k, \quad k \geq 0,$$

where  $(r_k)$  and  $(b_k)$  are sequences of nonnegative real numbers such that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k < \infty$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k < \infty$ . Then  $(a_k)$  is bounded and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k$  exists.

**Lemma 2.2.** [5, Lemma 2.5] Let  $K$  be a nonempty subset of a Hilbert space  $H$ . Assume  $(x^k)$  is a bounded sequence in  $H$  with the properties:

- (a)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x^k - z\|$  exists for each  $z \in K$ ;
- (b) if  $x'$  is a weak cluster point of  $(x^k)$ , then  $x' \in K$ .

Then the full sequence  $(x^k)$  converges weakly to a point in  $K$ .

We need the demiclosedness principle of nonexpansive mappings as follows.

**Lemma 2.3.** [9, 2] Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  a nonexpansive mapping. Suppose  $(v^k)$  is a sequence in  $C$  such that  $v^k \rightarrow v$  weakly and  $v^k - Tv^k \rightarrow 0$  in norm. Then  $v = Tv$ .

**2.1. A cyclic coordinate-update algorithm.** Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space with the decomposition (1.6). Let us consider the equation (1.1), assuming (1.2) and  $\text{zer}(S) \neq \emptyset$ .

Following [1], we introduce the coordinate mappings  $(S_i)$  associated with  $S$  as follows:  $S_i x := (0, \dots, 0, (Sx)_i, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $x \in H$ . As a result,

$$Sx = \sum_{i=1}^m S_i x, \quad \langle S_i x, S_j x \rangle = 0 \ (i \neq j), \quad \|Sx\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \|S_i x\|^2$$

for all  $x \in H$ .

The cyclic coordinate-update algorithm (CCA) introduced in [1, Algorithm 1] is rephrased below:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8a) \quad & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x^{k,0} = x^k, \\ (2.8b) \quad x^{k,j} = x^{k,j-1} - \alpha_k S_j(x^{k,j-1}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ (2.8c) \quad x^{k+1} = x^{k,m}. \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , Chow, et al [1] introduced two operators  $T^\alpha$  and  $E^\alpha$  defined respectively by

$$(2.9) \quad T^\alpha := I - \alpha S,$$

$$(2.10) \quad E^\alpha := (I - \alpha S_m)(I - \alpha S_{m-1}) \cdots (I - \alpha S_1).$$

Note that  $T^\alpha$  is an  $\alpha$ -averaged mapping (cf. [3, 14]); indeed,  $T^\alpha = (1 - \alpha)I + \alpha T$ . However, each mapping  $I - \alpha S_i$  fails, in general, to be nonexpansive; nevertheless, it is Lipschitzian with Lipschitz constant  $L_i \leq 2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Put  $L := \max\{L_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ .

The following fact is easily proved (see [1, Eq. (2.7), page A1285]):

$$(2.11) \quad \|T^\alpha x - x^*\|^2 \leq \|x - x^*\|^2 - \alpha(1 - \alpha)\|Sx\|^2, \quad x \in H, \ x^* \in \text{zer}(S).$$

The CCA (2.8) can also equivalently be reformulated in the form:

$$(2.12) \quad x^{k+1} = E^{\alpha_k} x^k = (I - \alpha_k S_m)(I - \alpha_k S_{m-1}) \cdots (I - \alpha_k S_1)x^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

The main convergence result of Chow, et al [1] is the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** [1, Theorem 3.4] *Assume  $S$  is of the form (1.2) with  $T$  nonexpansive and  $\text{zer}(S) \neq \emptyset$ . Assume, in addition, the stepsizes  $(\alpha_k)$  satisfy the rule (1.7). Then the sequence  $(x^k)$  generated by the CCA (2.8) (or equivalently, (2.12)) converges weakly to a solution of Eq. (1.1).*

### 3. AN IMPROVEMENT OF [1, Theorem 3.4]

In this section we will improve [1, Theorem 3.4] by showing the weak convergence of the CCA (2.8) under the much more general, relaxed conditions  $(\alpha 1)$  and  $(\alpha 2)$  satisfied by the stepsizes  $(\alpha_k)$ . To this end we need the lemma below.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $(\alpha_k)$  and  $(\beta_k)$  be sequences of nonnegative real numbers. Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \alpha_k = \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \alpha_k \beta_k < \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $\beta_{k+1} - \beta_k \leq c\alpha_k$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and some constant  $c > 0$ .

Then  $(\beta_k)$  converges to zero.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of positive integers. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We define a subset  $N_\varepsilon$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  by

$$\mathbb{N}_\varepsilon := \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \beta_k < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

Set  $\mathbb{N}_\varepsilon^c := \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon$ .

Since the conditions (i) and (ii) imply that  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_k = 0$ , the set  $\mathbb{N}_\varepsilon$  is indeed an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Also we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon^c} \alpha_k \beta_k \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon^c} \alpha_k.$$

By the condition (ii) we find that  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon^c} \alpha_k < \infty$ . Consequently, there exists a sufficiently large integer  $k_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon^c \\ k \geq k_\varepsilon}} \alpha_k < \frac{\varepsilon}{2c}.$$

We now claim that

$$(3.13) \quad \beta_k < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k > k_\varepsilon.$$

As a matter of fact, for fixed  $k > k_\varepsilon$ , if  $k \in \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon$ , then (3.13) holds trivially and we are done. If  $k \in \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon^c$ , then, since  $\mathbb{N}_\varepsilon$  is infinite,  $\mathbb{N}_\varepsilon$  has integers that are bigger than  $k$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon$  be the least integer in  $\mathbb{N}_\varepsilon$  such that  $k < n$ . Note that we have  $\beta_n < \varepsilon/2$ . It follows that (noticing the minimality property of  $n \in \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k &= \beta_n + (\beta_k - \beta_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + (\beta_k - \beta_n) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} (\beta_i - \beta_{i+1}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + c \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \alpha_i \\ &\text{by (iii)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + c \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon^c \\ i \geq k_\varepsilon}} \alpha_i < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, (3.13) holds again. This finishes the proof. □

Now we are in a position to extend [1, Theorem 3.4] to a more general case where the stepsizes  $(\alpha_k)$  can be particularly taken to be  $k^{-\tau}$  for all  $k \geq 1$  with  $\tau \in (1/3, 1]$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose  $\text{zer}(S) \neq \emptyset$  and  $I - S$  is nonexpansive. Assume  $(\alpha_k)$  satisfies the conditions  $(\alpha 1)$  and  $(\alpha 2)$  in Section 1. Then the sequence  $(x^k)$  generated by CCA (2.12) (i.e., (2.8)) converges weakly to a point in  $\text{zer}(S)$ .*

*Proof.* We will use the weak convergence lemma (i.e., Lemma 2.2) to prove the theorem. Namely, we will prove that the iterates  $(x^k)$  fulfil the two following conditions:

- (C1)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x^k - x^*\|$  exists for every  $x^* \in \text{zer}(S)$ ;
- (C2)  $\omega_w(x^k) \subset \text{zer}(S)$ .

We follow the notation and some lines of the proof given in [1] with appropriate modifications and improvements. For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , put

$$R \equiv R_\alpha := \frac{1}{\alpha}(T^\alpha - E^\alpha).$$

Here  $T^\alpha$  and  $E^\alpha$  are defined by (2.9) and (2.10), respectively. Below is an estimate given in [1, Lemma 3.1]:

$$(3.14) \quad \|Rx\| \leq \frac{\alpha Lm}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \alpha L)^m \|Sx\| \leq \alpha c_m \|Sx\|, \quad x \in H,$$

where  $c_m = \frac{mL}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + L)^m$ . Observing  $E^\alpha = T^\alpha - \alpha R$  and using the inequality

$$\|u + v\|^2 \leq \|u\|^2 + 2\langle v, u + v \rangle, \quad u, v \in H,$$

we get, for  $x \in H$  and  $x^* \in \text{zer}(S)$ ,

$$\|E^\alpha x - x^*\|^2 = \|(T^\alpha x - x^*) - \alpha Rx\|^2 \leq \|T^\alpha x - x^*\|^2 - 2\alpha \langle Rx, E^\alpha x - x^* \rangle$$

$$\leq \|T^\alpha x - x^*\|^2 + 2\alpha\|Rx\|\|E^\alpha x - x^*\|.$$

By Young's inequality, we get, for any  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$\|E^\alpha x - x^*\|^2 \leq \|T^\alpha x - x^*\|^2 + \alpha\eta^{-1}\|Rx\|^2 + \alpha\eta\|E^\alpha x - x^*\|^2.$$

It turns out that

$$(3.15) \quad \|E^\alpha x - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha\eta} \|T^\alpha x - x^*\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\eta(1 - \alpha\eta)} \|Rx\|^2.$$

Combining (3.14) and (3.15) yields

$$(3.16) \quad \|E^\alpha x - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha\eta} \|T^\alpha x - x^*\|^2 + \frac{\alpha^3 c_m^2}{\eta(1 - \alpha\eta)} \|Sx\|^2.$$

By (2.11) we furthermore derive that

$$(3.17) \quad \|E^\alpha x - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha\eta} \left( \|x - x^*\|^2 - \left( \alpha(1 - \alpha) - \frac{\alpha^3 c_m^2}{\eta} \right) \|Sx\|^2 \right).$$

Inserting  $x := x^k$ ,  $\alpha := \alpha_k$ ,  $\eta := \eta_k$  into (3.17), and recalling  $x^{k+1} = E^{\alpha_k} x^k$ , we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad \|x^{k+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq (1 + \xi_k) \left( \|x^k - x^*\|^2 - \left( \alpha_k(1 - \alpha_k) - \frac{\alpha_k^3 c_m^2}{\eta_k} \right) \|Sx^k\|^2 \right),$$

where  $\xi_k = \frac{\alpha_k \eta_k}{1 - \alpha_k \eta_k}$ . Take

$$\eta_k := \frac{2\alpha_k^2 c_m^2}{1 - \alpha_k}, \quad k > 1.$$

Then it is easy to find that

$$\xi_k = \frac{2c_m^2 \alpha_k^3}{1 - \alpha_k - 2c_m^2 \alpha_k^3}.$$

Since  $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$ , it is not hard to find from (α2) that  $\xi_k = O(\alpha_k^3)$ . Consequently, the series

$$(3.19) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k < \infty.$$

A consequence of (3.18) is that

$$(3.20) \quad \|x^{k+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq (1 + \xi_k) \|x^k - x^*\|^2.$$

By (3.19) and (3.20) and applying Lemma 2.1, we have verified (C1). Returning to (3.18) we immediately get

$$(3.21) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|Sx^k\|^2 < \infty.$$

Since  $(x^k)$  is bounded and  $S$  is 2-Lipschitzian, we have a constant  $\tilde{c} > 0$  such that  $\|x^k\| \leq c$  and  $\|Sx^k\| \leq \tilde{c}$  for all  $k$ . Set  $\beta_k = \|Sx^k\|^2$ . It follows that

$$|\beta_{k+1} - \beta_k| = \left| \|Sx^{k+1}\|^2 - \|Sx^k\|^2 \right| \leq \|Sx^{k+1} - Sx^k\| (\|Sx^{k+1}\| + \|Sx^k\|) \leq 4\tilde{c} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|.$$

Since  $x^{k+1} = E^{\alpha_k} x^k = x^k - \alpha_k(Sx^k + Rx^k)$ , it follows from (3.14) that

$$(3.22) \quad |\beta_{k+1} - \beta_k| \leq 4\tilde{c}\alpha_k (\|Sx^k\| + \|Rx^k\|) \leq 4\tilde{c}\alpha_k (1 + \alpha_k c_m) \|Sx^k\| \leq 4\tilde{c}^2 \alpha_k (1 + c_m) = c\alpha_k,$$

where  $c = 4\tilde{c}^2(1 + c_m)$ .

Finally, by (3.21) and (3.22) we can apply Lemma 3.4 to get  $\beta_k \rightarrow 0$ . Alternatively, we get  $\|x^k - Tx^k\| = \|Sx^k\| \rightarrow 0$ . This further enables us to apply Lemma 2.3 to obtain  $\omega_w(x^k) \subset \text{Fix}(T) = \text{zer}(S)$ . That is, (C2) is proven. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** Suppose  $\text{zer}(S) \neq \emptyset$  and  $I - S$  is nonexpansive. If the stepsizes  $(\alpha_k)$  are given by  $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{k^\tau}$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and some  $\tau \in (\frac{1}{3}, 1]$ , then the sequence  $(x^k)$  generated by the CCA (2.12) converges weakly to a point in  $\text{zer}(S)$ .

**Remark 3.1.** Corollary 3.1 contains the main convergence result of [1, Theorem 3.4] as a special case (corresponding to the choice  $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$ ).

**Remark 3.2.** The divergence condition (1.4) guarantees the weak convergence of the Krasnoselskii-Mann algorithm (1.3). Our conditions  $(\alpha_1)$  and  $(\alpha_2)$  are stronger than the divergence condition (1.4). It is unclear if the CCA (2.8) would converge weakly if the stepsizes  $(\alpha_k)$  satisfy the divergence condition (1.4). In particular, we do not know if the CCA (2.8) converges weakly if the stepsizes  $(\alpha_k)$  satisfy the two conditions below:

- $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty$ , and
- $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k^p < \infty$  for any fixed, arbitrarily big positive integer  $p$ .

Note that these conditions with  $p = 2$  are employed in incremental subgradient methods [7]. Note also that a positive answer to this question implies that the CCA (2.8) generates weakly convergent iterates  $(x^k)$ , with stepsizes  $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{k^\tau}$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and  $\tau \in (0, 1]$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Chow, Y. T., Wu, T. and Yin, W., *Cyclic coordinate-update algorithms for fixed-point problems: analysis and applications*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., **39** (2017), No. 4, A1280–A1300
- [2] Geobel, K. and Kirk, W. A., *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **28**, Cambridge University Press, 1990
- [3] He, H. and Xu, H. K., *Perturbation resilience and superiorization methodology of averaged mappings*, Inverse Problems, **33** (2017), No. 4, 044007, 11 pp.
- [4] Krasnoselskii, M. A., *Two remarks on the method of successive approximations*, Uspehi Math. Nauk., **10** (1955), 123–127 (in Russian)
- [5] Lopez Acedo, G. and Xu, H. K., *Iterative methods for strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **67** (2007), 2258–2271
- [6] Mann, W. R., *Mean value methods in iteration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **4** (1953), 506–510
- [7] Nedic, A. and Bertsekas, D. P., *Incremental subgradient methods for nondifferentiable optimization*, SIAM J. Optim., **12** (2001), No. 1, 109–138
- [8] O’Hara, J. G., Pillay, P. and Xu, H. K., *Iterative approaches to convex feasibility problems in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **64** (2006), 2022–2042
- [9] Opial, Z., *Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations of nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73** (1967), 595–597
- [10] Peng, Z., Xu, Y., Yan, M. and Yin, W., *Arock: An algorithmic framework for asynchronous parallel coordinate updates*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., **38** (2016), A2851–A2879
- [11] Polyak, B. T., *Introduction to Optimization*, Optimization Software, New York, 1987
- [12] Reich, S., *Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **67** (1979), 274–276
- [13] Wang, F. and Xu, H. K., *Cyclic algorithms for split feasibility problems in Hilbert spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **74** (2011), 4105–4111
- [14] Xu, H. K., *Averaged mappings and the gradient-projection algorithm*, J. Optim. Theory Appl., **150** (2011), No. 2, 360–378
- [15] Xu, H. K., *A variable Krasnoselskii-Mann algorithm and the multiple-set split feasibility problem*, Inverse Problems, **22** (2006), 2021–2034

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 HANGZHOU DIANZI UNIVERSITY  
 SCHOOL OF SCIENCE  
 HANGZHOU 310018, CHINA  
 E-mail address: 161070021@hdu.edu.cn, xuhk@hdu.edu.cn