CARPATHIAN J. MATH. Volume **40** (2024), No. 3, Pages 623 - 626 Online version at https://www.carpathian.cunbm.utcluj.ro/ Print Edition: ISSN 1584 - 2851; Online Edition: ISSN 1843 - 4401 DOI: https://doi.org/10.37193/CJM.2024.03.05

## Some remarks on [Carpathian J. Math. 39 (2023), No 2, 541–551]

J. CABALLERO<sup>1</sup>, J. HARJANI<sup>2</sup> and K. SADARANGANI<sup>3</sup>

ABSTRACT. We present some remarks on [Carpathian J. Math. 39 (2023), No 2, 541–551] in order to obtain a unique non trivial solution.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [2], the authors studied the following general functional equation

(1.1) 
$$U(x) = pxU(h_1(x)) + (1-p)xU(h_2(x)) + p(1-x)U(h_3(x)) + (1-p)(1-x)U(h_4(x)),$$

for any  $x \in [0,1]$ , where  $p \in [0,1]$ ,  $U: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a unknown function such that U(0) = 0and  $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  are given mappings such that

(1.2) 
$$h_3(0) = h_4(0) = 0.$$

They considered the space B of the real valued functions  $U\colon [0,1]\to \mathbb{R}$  such that U(0)=0 and

$$\sup_{x_1 \neq x_2} \frac{|U(x_1) - U(x_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|} < \infty$$

It is easily seen that  $(B, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space, where  $\|\cdot\|$  is defined by

$$||U|| = \sup_{x_1 \neq x_2} \frac{|U(x_1) - U(x_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|}$$

for any  $U \in B$ .

The main result of [2] is the following.

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 3.2 in [2]). Consider the functional equation (1.1) with the condition (1.2). Suppose that  $h_i: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), are Banach contraction mappings with contractive coefficients  $\alpha_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) satisfying

$$2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) < 1$$

and

(1.3) 
$$h_1(0) = h_2(0) = 0.$$

*Then Eq.* (1.1) *has a unique solution in the space*  $(B, \|\cdot\|)$ *.* 

Notice that Eq. (1.1) under condition (1.2) is satisfied by the function identically equal to zero and this function belongs to  $(B, \|\cdot\|)$ . By the uniqueness of the solution given by Theorem 1.1, the unique solution is the trivial solution. This is the main result of [2].

Received: 08.05.2023. In revised form: 14.11.2023. Accepted: 21.11.2023

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 39B22, 47H10, 03C45.

Key words and phrases. functional equations, stability, Banach fixed point theorem.

Corresponding author: Jackie Harjani; jackie.harjani@ulpgc.es

## 2. CONCLUSIONS

In order to obtain a non trivial solution to Eq. (1.1), we consider the space  $B_1$  given by

$$B_1 = \{ U \in B \colon U(1) = 1 \}.$$

Notice that  $B_1$  is a subset of the known Banach space  $H^1[0,1]$  of the Lipschitz functions, this is,

$$H^{1}[0,1] = \left\{ U \colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \colon \sup_{x_{1} \neq x_{2}} \left\{ \frac{|U(x_{1}) - U(x_{2})|}{|x_{1} - x_{2}|} < \infty, \text{ for } x_{1}, x_{2} \in [0,1] \right\} \right\},$$

where the norm is given by

$$||U|| = |U(0)| + \sup_{x_1 \neq x_2} \left\{ \frac{|U(x_1) - U(x_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|} \text{ for } x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

Moreover,  $H^1[0, 1]$  is a Banach algebra [1].

It is easily seen that  $B_1$  is a closed subset of B and, therefore,  $(B_1, d)$  is a complete metric space, where d is the distance induced by  $\|\cdot\|$ , this is,

$$d(U_1, U_2) = \|U_1 - U_2\| = \sup_{x_1 \neq x_2} \left\{ \frac{|(U_1 - U_2)(x_1) - (U_1 - U_2)(x_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|}, \ x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1] \right\},$$

for any  $U_1, U_2 \in B_1$ .

Next, we present our result.

**Theorem 2.2.** If in Theorem 1.1 we replace condition (1.3) by

$$(2.4) h_1(1) = h_2(1) = 1$$

and  $p(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) + (1-p)(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4) < \frac{1}{2}$  then Eq. (1.1) with (1.2) has a unique solution in  $(B_1, d)$ .

*Proof.* We consider the operator G defined on  $B_1$  as

$$(GU)(x) = pxU(h_1(x)) + (1-p)xU(h_2(x)) + p(1-x)U(h_3(x)) + (1-p)(1-x)U(h_4(x)),$$

for  $U \in B_1$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

By condition (1.2), it is clear that (GU)(0) = 0 and by (2.4) we have that (GU)(1) = 1. On the other hand, since  $H^1[0, 1]$  is a Banach algebra it is easily seen that the identity function and the composition of elements in  $H^1[0, 1]$  also belong to  $H^1[0, 1]$ . Therefore, if  $U \in H^1[0, 1]$  then  $GU \in H^1[0, 1]$ . Summarizing,  $GU \in B_1$  and G applies  $B_1$  into itself.

Next, we have to prove that *G* is a Banach contraction in  $B_1$ . For this, we take  $U_1, U_2 \in B_1$  and, since

$$d(GU_1, GU_2) = ||GU_1 - GU_2|| = ||G(U_1 - U_2)||,$$

we estimate  $||G(U_1 - U_2)||$ . In fact, we take  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  with  $x \neq y$ .

$$\begin{split} &\frac{|G(U_1 - U_2)(x) - G(U_1 - U_2)(y)|}{|x - y|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|x - y|} \left| px(U_1 - U_2)(h_1(x)) + p(1 - x)(U_1 - U_2)(h_3(x)) + (1 - p)x(U_1 - U_2)(h_2(x)) + p(1 - x)(U_1 - U_2)(h_3(x)) + (1 - p)(1 - x)(U_1 - U_2)(h_4(x)) - py(U_1 - U_2)(h_1(y)) - (1 - p)y(U_1 - U_2)(h_2(y)) - p(1 - y)(U_1 - U_2)(h_3(y)) - (1 - p)(1 - y)(U_1 - U_2)(h_4(y))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|x - y|} \left( p|x - y||(U_1 - U_2)(h_1(x))| + py|(U_1 - U_2)(h_1(x)) - (U_1 - U_2)(h_1(y))| + (1 - p)|x - y||(U_1 - U_2)(h_2(x))| + (1 - p)y|(U_1 - U_2)(h_3(x))| + p(1 - y)|(U_1 - U_2)(h_3(x)) - (U_1 - U_2)(h_3(y))| + (1 - p)|x - y||(U_1 - U_2)(h_4(x))| + (1 - p)(1 - y)|(U_1 - U_2)(h_4(x)) - (U_1 - U_2)(h_4(y))| \Big). \end{split}$$

Now, as  $(U_1 - U_2)(0) = (U_1 - U_2)(1) = 0$  we obtain that

$$\begin{split} & \frac{|G(U_1 - U_2)(x) - G(U_1 - U_2)(y)|}{|x - y|} \\ & \leq p \frac{|(U_1 - U_2)(h_1(x)) - (U_1 - U_2)(1))|}{|h_1(x) - 1|} |h_1(x) - 1| \\ & + (1 - p) \frac{|(U_1 - U_2)(h_2(x)) - (U_1 - U_2)(1))|}{|h_2(x) - 1|} |h_2(x) - 1| \\ & + p \frac{|(U_1 - U_2)(h_3(x)) - (U_1 - U_2)(0))|}{|h_3(x)|} |h_3(x)| \\ & + (1 - p) \frac{|(U_1 - U_2)(h_4(x)) - (U_1 - U_2)(0))|}{|h_4(x)|} |h_4(x)| \\ & + \frac{p}{|x - y|} ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_1(x) - h_1(y)| + \frac{1 - p}{|x - y|} ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_2(x) - h_2(y)| \\ & + \frac{p}{|x - y|} ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(y)| + \frac{1 - p}{|x - y|} ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(y)| \\ & \leq p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(0)| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(0)| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(0)| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(0)| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(0)| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(0)| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(0)| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(0)| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(0)| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(0)| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(0)| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4(x) - h_4(0)| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3(x) - h_3(0)| \\ & \leq p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3||x| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4||x| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3||x| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4||x| \\ & + p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_3||x| + (1 - p) ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_4||x| \\ & \leq p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_1 - U_2|| |h_4||x| \\ & \leq p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_1 - U_2|| |h_4||x| \\ & \leq p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_1 - U_2|| |h_4||x| \\ & \leq p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_1 - U_2|| |h_4||x| \\ & \leq p ||U_1 - U_2|| |h_1 - U_2|| |h_1 -$$

Finally, taking into account our assumption, we obtain that the operator *G* is a contraction in  $(B_1, \|\cdot\|)$ . Therefore, by the Banach's contraction principle, Eq. (1.1) has a unique solution in this space.

**Remark 2.1.** Since the solution  $U^*$  to Eq. (1.1) given by Theorem 2.2 belongs to  $(B_1, \|\cdot\|)$  we have that  $U^*(1) = 1$  and, therefore,  $U^*$  is not the trivial solution.

Finally, we present an example illustrating our result.

Example 2.1. Consider the following functional equation

$$U(x) = \frac{1}{3} x U\left(\frac{1}{5}x + \frac{4}{5}\right) + \frac{2}{3} x U\left(\frac{1}{7}x + \frac{6}{7}\right) + \frac{1}{3}(1-x) U\left(\frac{1}{8}x\right) + \frac{2}{3}(1-x) U\left(\frac{1}{9}x\right).$$

Eq. (2.5) is a particular case of Eq. (1.1) with  $p = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $h_1(x) = \frac{1}{5}x + \frac{4}{5}$ ,  $h_2(x) = \frac{1}{7}x + \frac{6}{7}$ ,  $h_3(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$ ,  $h_4(x) = \frac{1}{6}x$ .

Moreover, it is clear that  $h_1(1) = h_2(1) = 1$ ,  $h_3(0) = h_4(0) = 0$  and  $h_i$  are contractions of [0, 1] into itself with constants  $\alpha_1 = 1/5$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 1/7$ ,  $\alpha_3 = 1/8$  and  $\alpha_4 = 1/9$ .

Since

$$p(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) + (1 - p)(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4) = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{8}\right) + \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{9}\right) < \frac{1}{2},$$

Theorem 2.2 says us that Eq. (2.5) has a unique nontrivial solution.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors was partially supported by the project PID2019 - 106093GB - 100.

## REFERENCES

- Banas, J.; Nalepa, R. On the Space of Functions with Growths Tempered by a Modulus of Continuity and Its Applications. J. Funct. Spaces Appl. 2013 (2013), Art. ID 820437, 14 pp.
- [2] Turab, A.; Sintunavarat, W. On the solution of the generalized functional equation arising in mathematical psychology and theory of learning approached by the Banach fixed point theorem. *Carpathian J. Math.* **39** (2023), no 2, 541–551.

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS UNIVERSIDAD DE LAS PALMAS DE GRAN CANARIA CAMPUS DE TAFIRA BAJA 35017 LAS PALMAS DE GRAN CANARIA, SPAIN *Email address*: josefa.caballero@ulpgc.es *Email address*: kishin.sadarangani@ulpgc.es *Email address*: jackie.harjani@ulpgc.es