Stability of generalized P-harmonic maps

AHMED MOHAMMED CHERIF¹

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that any stable P(x)-harmonic map ψ from \mathbb{S}^2 to N is a holomorphic or anti-holomorphic map, where N is a Kählerian manifold with non-positive holomorphic bisectional curvature and $P(x) \geq 2$ is a smooth function on the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 satisfying some condition. We study the existence of stable P(x)-harmonic map ψ from sphere \mathbb{S}^n (n>2) to Riemannian manifold N, and the stability of P(x)-harmonic identity. We also study the case of a product $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{S}^{n_k}$.

1. Introduction

Let $\psi:(M,g)\to (N,h)$ be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds, $\tau(\psi)$ the tension field of ψ (see [1, 6]), and let $P:M\to [2,\infty)$, $x\longmapsto P(x)$ be a smooth function. The P(x)-tension field of ψ is defined by

(1.1)
$$\tau_{P(x)}(\psi) = |d\psi|^{P(x)-2}\tau(\psi) + d\psi(\text{grad } |d\psi|^{P(x)-2}),$$

where $|d\psi|$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the differential $d\psi$, and grad denotes the gradient operator with respect to g. The map ψ is called P(x)-harmonic if the P(x)-tension field vanishes, that is $\tau_{P(x)}(\psi)=0$. It is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the P(x)-energy functional (see [10])

(1.2)
$$E_P(\psi; D) = \int_D \frac{|d\psi|^{P(x)}}{P(x)} dx.$$

P(x)-harmonic maps is a natural generalization of harmonic map (see [1, 6]) and p-harmonic map (see [2, 3, 7]).

We define the index form for P(x)-harmonic maps by (see [10])

(1.3)
$$I(v,w) = \int_{M} h(J_{P(x)}^{\psi}(v), w) dx,$$

for all $v, w \in \Gamma(\psi^{-1}TN)$ where $J_{P(x)}^{\psi}$ is the generalized Jacobi operator of ψ defined by

$$J_{P(x)}^{\psi}(v) = -|d\psi|^{P(x)-2}\operatorname{trace}_{g}R^{N}(v,d\psi)d\psi - \operatorname{trace}_{g}\nabla^{\psi}|d\psi|^{P(x)-2}\nabla^{\psi}v$$

$$-\operatorname{trace}_{g}\nabla(P(x)-2)|d\psi|^{P(x)-4}\langle\nabla^{\psi}v,d\psi\rangle d\psi,$$
(1.4)

where $\langle \, , \, \rangle$ denote the inner product on $T^*M \otimes \psi^{-1}TN$, and R^N is the curvature tensor of (N,h) defined by

$$(1.5) R^N(U,V)W = \nabla_U^N \nabla_V^N W - \nabla_V^N \nabla_U^N W - \nabla_{U,V}^N W,$$

for all $U,V,W\in\Gamma(TN)$, ∇^N is the Levi-Civita connection of (N,h), ∇^ψ denote the pullback connection on $\psi^{-1}TN$, and dx is the volume form of (M,g) (see [1]). Let ψ be a P(x)-harmonic map such that for any vector field v along ψ the index form satisfies $I(v,v)\geq 0$,

Received: 19.04.2024. In revised form: 06.11.2024. Accepted: 15.11.2024

 $2020\ Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 53A45, 53C20, 58E20, 32Q15.$

Key words and phrases. Kählerian manifold, holomorphic map, staple p-harmonic map.

Corresponding author: Ahmed Mohammed Cherif; a.mohammedcherif@univ-mascara.dz

thus ψ is called a stable P(x)-harmonic map. Note that, if $P(x) \geq 2$, then every P(x)-harmonic map from a compact Riemannian manifold (M,g) without boundary to a Riemannian manifold (N,h) has $\operatorname{Sect}^N < 0$ is stable (see [10]).

Let M be a differentiable manifold. An almost Hermitian structure on M is by definition a pair (J,g) of an almost complex structure J and a Riemannian metric g satisfying

(1.6)
$$J^{2}X = -X, \quad g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$$

for all $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM)$. A manifold with such a structure (J,g) is called an almost Hermitian manifold. An almost Hermitian manifold (M,J,g) is Kählerian if and only if its almost complex structure J is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection (see [17]), that is

(1.7)
$$\nabla_X JY = J \nabla_X Y, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Let X, Y be two unit vectors at a point in M. The holomorphic bisectional curvature is defined by

(1.8)
$$BHR(X,Y) = g(R^M(X,JX)Y,JY).$$

Let $\psi:(M,J,g)\to (N,J',h)$ be a smooth map between two almost Hermitian manifolds. The map ψ is called \pm holomorphic (holomorphic or anti-holomorphic) if $d\psi\circ J=\pm J'\circ d\psi$ (see [17]).

The paper extends the results from stable harmonic map to stable P(x)-harmonic map. It gives some properties of stable P(x)-harmonic map from \mathbb{S}^n into a Riemannian manifold. The stability of the P(x)-harmonic identity map of a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, and of P(x)-harmonic maps from a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary into $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{S}^{n_k}$ is studied. The paper extends some results proved by Y. T. Siu and S. T. Yau in [15], and by L. F. Cheung, P. F. Leung in [4]. The search results provide additional information on harmonic maps, and the stability of Riemannian manifolds (see [9]).

2. Main results

2.1. **Holomorphicity of** P(x)**-harmonic maps.** In the theorem below, we examine the conditions that determine whether a P(x)-harmonic map from the standard sphere \mathbb{S}^2 into a special Kähler manifold is a holomorphic or anti-holomorphic map (see [11, 15]).

Theorem 2.1. Let (N, J', h) be a Kähler manifold with non-positive holomorphic bisectional curvature, and let $P(x) \geq 2$ be a smooth function on the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . Then, any stable P(x)-harmonic map $\psi : \mathbb{S}^2 \to N$ satisfying the inequality

$$(2.9) \qquad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |d\psi|^{P(x)-2} \Delta |d\psi|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (P(x)-2) |d\psi|^{P(x)-2} |\nabla d\psi|^2 dx \le 0,$$

is a holomorphic or anti-holomorphic map. Moreover, ψ is harmonic map and $\operatorname{grad} |d\psi|^{P(x)-2} \in \ker d\psi$.

Proof. Note that, the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2 admits a complex structure, and every Riemannian metric on an oriented 2-dimensional manifold is a Kähler metric with respect to the naturally induced complex structure.

Take $f(x) = |d_x \psi|^{P(x)-2}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^2$. Choose a normal orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$ at point x_0 in \mathbb{S}^2 . Let $v \in \Gamma(T\mathbb{S}^2)$. We compute

$$(2.10) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{2} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} f \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} J' d\psi(v) = J' \nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f}^{\psi} d\psi(v) + f \sum_{i=1}^{2} J' \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} d\psi(v).$$

By using the property $\nabla_X^{\psi} d\psi(Y) = \nabla_Y^{\psi} d\psi(X) + d\psi([X,Y])$ and the definition of the curvature tensor, the equation (2.10) becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} f \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} J' d\psi(v) = J' \nabla_{v}^{\psi} d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f) + J' d\psi([\operatorname{grad} f, v])$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[f J' \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} \nabla_{v}^{\psi} d\psi(e_{i}) + f J' \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} d\psi([e_{i}, v]) \right]$$

$$= J' \nabla_{v}^{\psi} d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f) + J' d\psi(\nabla_{\operatorname{grad}} f v) - J' d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[f J' R^{N} (d\psi(e_{i}), d\psi(v)) d\psi(e_{i}) + f J' \nabla_{v}^{\psi} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} d\psi(e_{i}) + 2f J' \nabla_{\nabla_{e_{i}} v}^{\psi} d\psi(e_{i}) + f J' d\psi([e_{i}, [e_{i}, v]]) \right].$$

$$(2.11)$$

By the antisymmetry of the curvature tensor and the definition of tension field of ψ , we obtain the following

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} f \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} J' d\psi(v) = J' \nabla_{v}^{\psi} d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f) + J' d\psi(\nabla_{\operatorname{grad}} f v) - J' d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f)
- \sum_{i=1}^{2} f J' R^{N} (d\psi(v), d\psi(e_{i})) d\psi(e_{i}) + f J' \nabla_{v}^{\psi} \tau(\psi)
+ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[f J' \nabla_{v}^{\psi} d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}) + f J' \nabla_{\nabla_{e_{i}} v}^{\psi} d\psi(e_{i}) \right]
+ f J' d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{i}} v) - f J' d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{v} e_{i}) \right]
= J' \nabla_{v}^{\psi} d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f) + J' d\psi(\nabla_{\operatorname{grad}} f v) - J' d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f)
- \sum_{i=1}^{2} f J' R^{N} (d\psi(v), d\psi(e_{i})) d\psi(e_{i}) + J' \nabla_{v}^{\psi} f \tau(\psi)
- v(f) J' \tau(\psi) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[f J' d\psi(\nabla_{v} \nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}) + f J' \nabla_{\nabla_{e_{i}} v}^{\psi} d\psi(e_{i}) \right]
+ f J' d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{i}} v) - f J' d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{v} e_{i}) \right].$$
(2.12)

By using the P(x)-harmonicity of ψ and the definition of the Ricci tensor $\operatorname{Ricci} v = \sum_{i=1}^{2} R(v, e_i) e_i$, we have from equation (2.12) the following

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} f \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} J' d\psi(v) = J' d\psi(\nabla_{\operatorname{grad}} f v) - J' d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{2} f J' R^{N} (d\psi(v), d\psi(e_{i})) d\psi(e_{i}) - v(f) J' \tau(\psi)$$

$$+ f J' d\psi(\operatorname{Ricci} v) + f J' d\psi(\operatorname{trace} \nabla^{2} v).$$
(2.13)

From equation (2.13), we conclude that

$$-h(\operatorname{trace} \nabla^{\psi} f \nabla^{\psi} J' d\psi(v), J' d\psi(v)) = -h(d\psi(\nabla_{\operatorname{grad}} f v), d\psi(v)) + h(d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(v)) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} f h(R^{N}(d\psi(v), d\psi(e_{i})) d\psi(e_{i}), d\psi(v)) + v(f)h(\tau(\psi), d\psi(v)) - f h(d\psi(\operatorname{Ricci} v), d\psi(v)).$$

$$(2.14)$$

By the definition of generalized Jacobi operator (1.4) and equation (2.14), we have the following

$$h(J_{P}^{\psi}(J'd\psi(v)), J'd\psi(v)) = -\sum_{i=1}^{2} fh(R^{N}(J'd\psi(v), d\psi(e_{i}))d\psi(e_{i}), J'd\psi(v))$$

$$-h(d\psi(\nabla_{\operatorname{grad}} fv), d\psi(v))$$

$$+h(d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(v))$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{2} fh(R^{N}(d\psi(v), d\psi(e_{i}))d\psi(e_{i}), d\psi(v))$$

$$+v(f)h(\tau(\psi), d\psi(v)) - fh(d\psi(\operatorname{Ricci} v), d\psi(v))$$

$$-fh(d\psi(\operatorname{trace} \nabla^{2}v), d\psi(v)) - \operatorname{div} \theta$$

$$+(P(x) - 2)|d\psi|^{P(x) - 4}\langle \nabla^{\psi} J'd\psi(v), d\psi \rangle^{2},$$

$$(2.15)$$

where $\theta(X)=(P(x)-2)|d\psi|^{P(x)-4}\langle \nabla^{\psi}J'd\psi(v),d\psi\rangle h(d\psi(X),J'd\psi(v)).$ Let $\lambda(x)=\langle \alpha,x\rangle$ for all $x\in\mathbb{S}^2$ where $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^3$, and let $v=\operatorname{grad}\lambda$. It is easy to show that $v=\sum_{i=1}^2\langle \alpha,e_i\rangle e_i,\nabla_X v=-\lambda X$ for all $X\in\Gamma(T\mathbb{S}^2)$, and $\operatorname{trace}\nabla^2 v=-v$ (see [17]). From equation (2.15) with $\operatorname{Ricci} v=v$, we have at point x_0

$$h(J_P^{\psi}(J'd\psi(v)), J'd\psi(v)) = h(d\psi(\nabla_v \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(v))$$

$$+ \lambda h(d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(v))$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^2 fh(R^N(d\psi(v), d\psi(e_i))d\psi(e_i), d\psi(v))$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^2 fh(R^N(J'd\psi(v), d\psi(e_i))d\psi(e_i), J'd\psi(v))$$

$$+ v(f)h(\tau(\psi), d\psi(v)) - \operatorname{div} \theta$$

$$+ (P(x) - 2)|d\psi|^{P(x) - 4} \langle \nabla^{\psi} J'd\psi(v), d\psi \rangle^2.$$

$$(2.16)$$

Let $e_1 = e$ and $e_2 = Je$. From the P(x)-harmonicity of ψ and equation (2.16), we obtain

$$\operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} h(J_{P}^{\psi}(J'd\psi(v)), J'd\psi(v)) = \operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} h(d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(v)) \\ + fh(R^{N}(d\psi(Je), d\psi(e))d\psi(e), d\psi(Je)) \\ + fh(R^{N}(d\psi(e), d\psi(Je))d\psi(Je), d\psi(e)) \\ - fh(R^{N}(J'd\psi(e), d\psi(e))d\psi(e), J'd\psi(e)) \\ - fh(R^{N}(J'd\psi(Je), d\psi(Je))d\psi(e), J'd\psi(Je)) \\ - fh(R^{N}(J'd\psi(Je), d\psi(Je))d\psi(Je), J'd\psi(Je)) \\ - fh(R^{N}(J'd\psi(Je), d\psi(Je))d\psi(Je), J'd\psi(Je)) \\ - fh(\tau(\psi), \tau(\psi)) - \operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} \operatorname{div} \theta \\ + (P(\tau) - 2)|d\psi|^{P(\tau) - 4} \operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} \langle \nabla^{\psi} J'd\psi(v), d\psi \rangle^{2}$$

We set $K(U,V) = h(R^N(U,V)U,V)$ for $U,V \in \Gamma(TN)$. The last equation is equivalent to the following

$$\operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} h(J_{P}^{\psi}(J'd\psi(v)), J'd\psi(v)) = \operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} h(d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(v)) \\ -2fK(d\psi(e), d\psi(Je)) + 2fK(d\psi(e), J'd\psi(Je)) \\ +fK(d\psi(e), J'd\psi(e)) + fK(d\psi(Je), J'd\psi(Je)) \\ -fh(\tau(\psi), \tau(\psi)) - \operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} \operatorname{div} \theta \\ +(P(x) - 2)|d\psi|^{P(x) - 4} \operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} \langle \nabla^{\psi} J'd\psi(v), d\psi \rangle^{2}.$$
(2.17)

Take $\omega = d\psi(Je) - J'd\psi(e)$ and $\eta = d\psi(Je) + J'd\psi(e)$. We have

$$h(R^{N}(\omega, J'\omega)\eta, J'\eta) = -2K(d\psi(e), d\psi(Je)) + 2K(d\psi(e), J'd\psi(Je))$$

$$+K(d\psi(e), J'd\psi(e)) + K(d\psi(Je), J'd\psi(Je)).$$

Substituting the formula (2.18) in (2.17), we get

$$\operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} h(J_{P}^{\psi}(J'd\psi(v)), J'd\psi(v)) = \operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} h(d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(v)) - \operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} \operatorname{div} \theta + fh(R^{N}(\omega, J'\omega)\eta, J'\eta) - fh(\tau(\psi), \tau(\psi)) + (P(x) - 2)|d\psi|^{P(x) - 4} \operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} \langle \nabla^{\psi} J'd\psi(v), d\psi \rangle^{2}.$$
(2.19)

The first term of (2.19) is given by (see [5, 14])

$$\operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} h(d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(v)) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} h(d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(e_{i}))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{2} h(\nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(e_{i}))$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} e_{i}(f) e_{i}(|d\psi|^{2}).$$
(2.20)

Let $\alpha(X) = h(d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(X))$ and $\beta(X) = fX(|d\psi|^2)$. From equation (2.20) and the P(x)-harmonicity condition, we obtain

$$\operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} h(d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(v)) = \operatorname{div} \alpha + fh(\tau(\psi), \tau(\psi))$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \beta + \frac{1}{2} f\Delta |d\psi|^{2}.$$
(2.21)

By using the definition of $\nabla d\psi$ and the property $\nabla_X v = -\lambda X$, we find that

(2.22)
$$\langle \nabla^{\psi} J' d\psi(v), d\psi \rangle = -\sum_{i=1}^{2} h((\nabla d\psi)(v, e_i), J' d\psi(e_i)).$$

According to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and equation (2.22) we get

$$\operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} \langle \nabla^{\psi} J' d\psi(v), d\psi \rangle^{2} \leq 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} h((\nabla d\psi)(e_{j}, e_{i}), J' d\psi(e_{i}))^{2}$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} |(\nabla d\psi)(e_{j}, e_{i})|^{2} |d\psi(e_{i})|^{2}$$

$$\leq 2 |d\psi|^{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} |(\nabla d\psi)(e_{i}, e_{j})|^{2}$$

$$\leq 2 |d\psi|^{2} |\nabla d\psi|^{2}.$$

$$(2.23)$$

From (2.19), (2.21), (2.23), the stability condition of ψ , the Green Theorem, and the assumption (2.9), we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} fh(R^N(\omega, J'\omega)\eta, J'\eta) dx \geq \operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} I(J'd\psi(v), J'd\psi(v)) \\
-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} f\Delta |d\psi|^2 dx \\
-2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (P(x) - 2) f |\nabla d\psi|^2 dx \geq 0.$$

But by the condition of non-positive holomorphic bisectional curvature

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} fh(R^N(\omega, J'\omega)\eta, J'\eta) dx \le 0.$$

Therefore, $\omega=0$ or $\eta=0$. So that the map ψ is \pm holomorphic. Consequently ψ is harmonic (see [17]). From the P(x)-harmonicity condition of ψ , we obtain $\operatorname{grad} f \in \operatorname{Ker} d\psi$.

If the function P(x) = 2 on M, we deduce the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.1. [17] Let N be a Kähler manifold with non-positive holomorphic bisectional curvature. Then any stable harmonic map $\psi: \mathbb{S}^2 \to N$ is a holomorphic or anti-holomorphic map.

2.2. **Stable** P(x)-harmonic maps from \mathbb{S}^n . Y. L. Xin proved in [16] that any stable harmonic map from \mathbb{S}^n (n > 2) into any Riemannian manifold must be a constant map. This result is a specific case of a more general Theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Any stable P(x)-harmonic map ψ from sphere \mathbb{S}^n (n > 2) to Riemannian manifold (N,h) is constant, where $2 \le P(x) < n$ is a smooth function on \mathbb{S}^n satisfying

$$\langle \operatorname{grad} | d\psi |^2, \operatorname{grad} P \rangle = 0,$$

on \mathbb{S}^n .

Proof. Let $\{e_i\}$ be a normal orthonormal frame at x_0 in \mathbb{S}^n , $\lambda(x) = \langle \alpha, x \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and let $v = \operatorname{grad} \lambda$. Note that

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \alpha, e_i \rangle e_i, \quad \nabla_X v = -\lambda X,$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(T\mathbb{S}^n)$, and trace $\nabla^2 v = -v$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on \mathbb{S}^n with respect to the standard metric of the sphere. Take $f = |d\psi|^{P-2}$. We compute

(2.25)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{e_i}^{\psi} f \nabla_{e_i}^{\psi} d\psi(v) = \nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f}^{\psi} d\psi(v) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f \nabla_{e_i}^{\psi} \nabla_{e_i}^{\psi} d\psi(v).$$

By using the properties of ∇^{ψ} , the first term of (2.25) is given by

$$\nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f}^{\psi} d\psi(v) = \nabla_{v}^{\psi} d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f) + d\psi([\operatorname{grad} f, v])$$

$$= \nabla_{v}^{\psi} d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f) - \lambda d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f) - d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f),$$
(2.26)

and the second term of (2.25) is given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} d\psi(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[f \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} \nabla_{v}^{\psi} d\psi(e_{i}) + f \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} d\psi([e_{i}, v]) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[f R^{N} (d\psi(e_{i}), d\psi(v)) d\psi(e_{i}) + f \nabla_{v}^{\psi} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} d\psi(e_{i}) + 2 f \nabla_{[e_{i}, v]}^{\psi} d\psi(e_{i}) + f d\psi([e_{i}, [e_{i}, v]]) \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} f R^{N} (d\psi(v), d\psi(e_{i})) d\psi(e_{i}) + f \nabla_{v}^{\psi} \tau(\psi) - 2 \lambda f \tau(\psi)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[f \nabla_{v}^{\psi} d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}) + f d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{i}} v) - f d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{v} e_{i}) \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} f R^{N} (d\psi(v), d\psi(e_{i})) d\psi(e_{i}) + \nabla_{v}^{\psi} f \tau(\psi) - v(f) \tau(\psi)$$

$$-2 \lambda f \tau(\psi) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[f d\psi(\nabla_{v} \nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}) + f d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{i}} v) - f d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{i}} v) \right]$$

$$(2.27)$$

Substituting the equations (2.26) and (2.27) in (2.25), with the P(x)-harmonicity of ψ , we have the following

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} f \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} d\psi(v) = -\lambda d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f) - d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f)$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f R^{N}(d\psi(v), d\psi(e_{i})) d\psi(e_{i}) - v(f)\tau(\psi)$$

$$-2\lambda f \tau(\psi) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[f d\psi(\nabla_{v} \nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}) + f d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{i}} v) - f d\psi(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{v} e_{i}) \right].$$

$$(2.28)$$

From equations (1.4) and (2.28), we have

$$J_P^{\psi}(d\psi(v)) = \lambda d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f) + d\psi(\nabla_v \operatorname{grad} f) + v(f)\tau(\psi) + 2\lambda f\tau(\psi)$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n \left[f d\psi(\nabla_v \nabla_{e_i} e_i) + f d\psi(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} v) - f d\psi(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_v e_i) + \nabla_{e_i}^{\psi}(P(x) - 2) |d\psi|^{P(x) - 4} \langle \nabla^{\psi} d\psi(v), d\psi \rangle d\psi(e_i) \right],$$
(2.29)

it is equivalent to the following equation

$$J_{P}^{\psi}(d\psi(v)) = \lambda d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f) + d\psi(\nabla_{v} \operatorname{grad} f) + v(f)\tau(\psi) + 2\lambda f\tau(\psi) - fd\psi(\operatorname{Ricci} v) - fd\psi(\operatorname{trace} \nabla^{2}v) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi}(P(x) - 2)|d\psi|^{P(x) - 4} \langle \nabla^{\psi} d\psi(v), d\psi \rangle d\psi(e_{i}).$$
(2.30)

A direct calculation shows that

(2.31)
$$\langle \nabla^{\psi} d\psi(v), d\psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} v \left(|d\psi|^2 \right) - \lambda |d\psi|^2.$$

Since Ricci v = (n-1)v and trace $\nabla^2 v = -v$, from (2.30) we conclude that

$$h(J_P^{\psi}(d\psi(v)), d\psi(v)) = \lambda h(d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(v)) + h(d\psi(\nabla_v \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi(v)) + v(f)h(\tau(\psi), d\psi(v)) + 2\lambda fh(\tau(\psi), d\psi(v)) - (n-2)fh(d\psi(v), d\psi(v)) - \operatorname{div} \theta + (P(x)-2)|d\psi|^{P(x)-4}\langle \nabla^{\psi} d\psi(v), d\psi \rangle^2,$$
(2.32)

where $\theta(X) = (P(x) - 2)|d\psi|^{P(x)-4}\langle \nabla^{\psi}d\psi(v), d\psi\rangle h(d\psi(X), d\psi(v))$. By using the P(x)-harmonicity of ψ and equations (2.31), (2.32), we find that

$$\operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} h(J_{P(x)}^{\psi}(d\psi(v)), d\psi(v)) = \langle d\psi(\nabla \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi \rangle$$

$$-f|\tau(\psi)|^{2} - (n-2)|d\psi|^{P(x)} - \operatorname{trace}_{\alpha} \operatorname{div} \theta$$

$$+ \frac{P(x) - 2}{4}|d\psi|^{P(x) - 4}|\operatorname{grad} |d\psi|^{2}|^{2}$$

$$+ (P(x) - 2)|d\psi|^{P(x)}.$$
(2.33)

By using the following formula (see [5, 14])

$$\langle \nabla^{\psi} d\psi(\operatorname{grad} f), d\psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{grad} f) (|d\psi|^2) + \langle d\psi(\nabla \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi \rangle,$$

the P(x)-harmonicity of ψ , and the assumption $\langle \operatorname{grad} | d\psi |^2, \operatorname{grad} P \rangle = 0$ we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \langle d\psi(\nabla \operatorname{grad} f), d\psi \rangle dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f|\tau(\psi)|^2 dx$$

$$-\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (P(x) - 2)|d\psi|^{P(x) - 4} |\operatorname{grad} |d\psi|^2|^2 dx.$$

From the stable P(x)-harmonic condition, the Green Theorem, and equations (2.33), (2.34), we get the following inequality

(2.35)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (P(x) - n) |d\psi|^{P(x)} dx \ge 0.$$

Consequently ψ is constant because $2 \le P(x) < n$ for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$.

Corollary 2.2. [17] Any stable harmonic map ψ from sphere \mathbb{S}^n (n > 2) to Riemannian manifold (N, h) is constant.

B. Merdji and A. Mohammed Cherif proved the following result in [10] for the case where the codomain of the stable P(x)-harmonic map is the standard sphere \mathbb{S}^n .

Theorem 2.3. Let (M,g) be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. When n > 2, any stable P(x)-harmonic map $\varphi : (M,g) \to \mathbb{S}^n$ must be constant, where P(x) is a smooth function on M such that 2 < P(x) < n.

2.3. **Stability of** P(x)**-harmonic identity map.** For the identity map of a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary of dimension n. If one of the following conditions holds

- (1) n = 1 and $P(x) \ge 2$ for all $x \in M$.
- (2) n=2 and $P(x)=C^{st} \geq 2$ for all $x \in M$.

Then, the identity map $Id: (M,g) \to (M,g)$ is stable P(x)-harmonic.

Proof. Let $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal frame on (M,g) such that $\nabla_{e_j}e_i=0$ at $x_0\in M$ for all i,j=1,..n. Let $v\in\Gamma(TM)$, we have at x_0

$$g(J_{P(x)}^{Id}(v), v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[-n^{\frac{P(x)-2}{2}} g(R(v, e_i)e_i, v) - g(\nabla_{e_i} n^{\frac{P(x)-2}{2}} \nabla_{e_i} v, v) - g(\nabla_{e_i} n^{\frac{P(x)-2}{2}} \nabla_{e_i} v, v) \right].$$

$$(2.36) \qquad -g(\nabla_{e_i} (P(x)-2) n^{\frac{P(x)-4}{2}} (\operatorname{div} v)e_i, v) \right].$$

Let $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Gamma(T^*M)$ defined by

$$\eta_1(X) = n^{\frac{P(x)-2}{2}} g(\nabla_X v, v),
\eta_2(X) = (P(x) - 2) n^{\frac{P(x)-4}{2}} (\operatorname{div} v) g(X, v).$$

So that, the equation (2.36) becomes

$$g(J_{P(x)}^{Id}(v), v) = -n^{\frac{P(x)-2}{2}} \operatorname{Ric}(v, v) - \operatorname{div} \eta_1 + n^{\frac{P(x)-2}{2}} |\nabla v|^2$$

$$-\operatorname{div} \eta_2 + (P(x) - 2)n^{\frac{P(x)-4}{2}} (\operatorname{div} v)^2.$$
(2.37)

As the manifold M is compact without boundary, by the Green Theorem we get the following inequality

$$I(v,v) = \int_{M} \left[-n^{\frac{P(x)-2}{2}} \operatorname{Ric}(v,v) dx + n^{\frac{P(x)-2}{2}} |\nabla v|^{2} + (P(x)-2)n^{\frac{P(x)-4}{2}} (\operatorname{div} v)^{2} \right] dx$$

$$\geq \int_{M} n^{\frac{P(x)-2}{2}} \left[|\nabla v|^{2} - \operatorname{Ric}(v,v) \right] dx.$$
(2.38)

According to the following Yano's formula (see [18])

$$\int_{M} [|\nabla v|^{2} - \text{Ric}(v, v)] dx = \int_{M} \left[\frac{1}{2}|L_{v}g|^{2} - (\text{div }v)^{2}\right] dx,$$

where $L_v g$ is the Lie derivative of the metric g, with the following inequality

$$|L_{v}g|^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} [(L_{v}g)(e_{i}, e_{j})]^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} [g(\nabla_{e_{i}}v, e_{j}) + g(\nabla_{e_{j}}v, e_{i})]^{2}$$

$$\geq 4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(\nabla_{e_{i}}v, e_{i})^{2}$$

$$\geq \frac{4}{n} \Big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} g(\nabla_{e_{i}}v, e_{i})\Big]^{2}$$

$$\geq \frac{4}{n} (\operatorname{div} v)^{2},$$

we conclude that

(2.39)
$$\int_{M} \left[|\nabla v|^2 - \operatorname{Ric}(v, v) \right] dx \geq \frac{2 - n}{n} \int_{M} (\operatorname{div} v)^2 dx.$$

If n = 1, from equations (2.38) and (2.39), we find that

$$(2.40) I(v,v) \geq \frac{2-n}{n} \int_{M} (\operatorname{div} v)^{2} dx.$$

Thus, Id is stable P(x)-harmonic map. If n=2, and the function P(x) is constant on M, we have $I(v,v)\geq 0$. Hence Id is also stable P(x)-harmonic map.

Corollary 2.3. For any smooth function $P(x) \geq 2$ on \mathbb{S}^1 , the identity map of \mathbb{S}^1 is stable P(x)-harmonic.

2.4. **Stability of** P(x)**-harmonic maps into** $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{S}^{n_k}$ **.** Let (N,h) be a complete n-dimensional submanifold in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+r} . Define the function h by

$$h(x) = \max\{|B(u,u)|^2, u \in T_x N, |u| = 1\},\$$

for all $x \in N$ where B denote the second fundamental form of (N,h) in \mathbb{R}^{n+r} . We define also the function ϕ by

$$\phi(u) = \sum_{a=1}^{n} |B(u, v_a)|^2, \quad \forall u \in T_x N,$$

where $\{v_a\}$ is an orthonormal frame on (N, h). Note that, ϕ is independent of the choice of this orthonormal frame (see [8, 4]). Under the above notation, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 2.5. We assume that for any unit vector $u \in T_xN$, we have

(2.41)
$$(P(x) - 2)h(x) + \phi(u) - \operatorname{Ric}^{N}(u, u) < 0.$$

Then, any stable P(x)-harmonic map ψ from a compact Riemannian manifold (M,g) without boundary to (N,h) is constant where $P(x) \geq 2$ is a smooth function on M.

Proof. Consider a parallel vector field v in \mathbb{R}^{n+r} . We assume that ψ is not a constant map. From (1.3) and (1.4) the second variation corresponding to v^{\top} is given by

$$I(v^{\top}, v^{\top}) = -\int_{M} |d\psi|^{P(x)-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} h(R^{N}(v^{\top}, d\psi(e_{i})) d\psi(e_{i}), v^{\top}) dx$$

$$+ \int_{M} |d\psi|^{P(x)-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} h(\nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} v^{\top}, \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} v^{\top}) dx$$

$$+ \int_{M} (P(x) - 2) |d\psi|^{P(x)-4} \Big[\sum_{i=1}^{m} h(d\psi(e_{i}), \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\psi} v^{\top}) \Big]^{2} dx.$$

$$(2.42)$$

Let i = 1, ..., m. We compute the following term

$$\nabla_{e_i}^{\psi} v^{\top} = \nabla_{d\psi(e_i)}^{N} v^{\top}
= (\nabla_{d\psi(e_i)}^{\mathbb{R}^{n+r}} v^{\top})^{\top}
= -(\nabla_{d\psi(e_i)}^{\mathbb{R}^{n+r}} v^{\perp})^{\top}
= A_{v^{\perp}} (d\psi(e_i)).$$
(2.43)

Now, we consider the quadratic form Q on \mathbb{R}^{n+r} defined by

$$Q(v) = -\int_{M} |d\psi|^{P(x)-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} h(R^{N}(v^{\top}, d\psi(e_{i})) d\psi(e_{i}), v^{\top}) dx$$

$$+ \int_{M} |d\psi|^{P(x)-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} h(A_{v^{\perp}}(d\psi(e_{i})), A_{v^{\perp}}(d\psi(e_{i}))) dx$$

$$+ \int_{M} (P(x) - 2) |d\psi|^{P(x)-4} \Big[\sum_{i=1}^{m} h(B(d\psi(e_{i}), d\psi(e_{i})), v^{\perp}) \Big]^{2} dx.$$

$$(2.44)$$

We choose an orthonormal frame $\{v_a, v_b\}$, a = 1, ..., n, b = n + 1, ..., n + r, such that the v_a are tangent to (N, h) and the v_b are normal to (N, h). The trace of Q is given by

$$\operatorname{trace} Q = -\int_{M} |d\psi|^{P(x)-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{a=1}^{n} h(R^{N}(v_{a}, d\psi(e_{i})) d\psi(e_{i}), v_{a}) dx$$

$$+ \int_{M} |d\psi|^{P(x)-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{a=1}^{n} |B(d\psi(e_{i}), v_{a})|^{2} dx$$

$$+ \int_{M} (P(x) - 2) |d\psi|^{P(x)-4} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{m} B(d\psi(e_{i}), d\psi(e_{i})) \Big|^{2} dx.$$

$$(2.45)$$

By using the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{m} B(d\psi(e_{i}), d\psi(e_{i})) \right|^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \langle B(d\psi(e_{i}), d\psi(e_{i})), B(d\psi(e_{j}), d\psi(e_{j})) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+r}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} |B(d\psi(e_{i}), d\psi(e_{i}))| |B(d\psi(e_{j}), d\psi(e_{j}))|$$

$$\leq h(x) \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} |d\psi(e_{i})|^{2} |d\psi(e_{j})|^{2}.$$
(2.46)

We put $d\psi(e_i) = |d\psi(e_i)|u_i$ for $d\psi(e_i) \neq 0$ at x. From equation (2.45) and inequality (2.46), we find that

$$\operatorname{trace} Q \leq -\int_{M} |d\psi|^{P(x)-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |d\psi(e_{i})|^{2} \operatorname{Ric}^{N}(u_{i}, u_{i}) dx$$

$$+ \int_{M} |d\psi|^{P(x)-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |d\psi(e_{i})|^{2} \phi(u_{i}) dx$$

$$+ \int_{M} (P(x) - 2) |d\psi|^{P(x)-2} h(x) \sum_{i=1}^{m} |d\psi(e_{i})|^{2} dx.$$
(2.47)

By the assumption (2.41) and (2.47), we conclude that ${\rm trace}\, Q < 0$. Hence ψ is not stable.

Corollary 2.4. Let $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{S}^{n_k}$ be a product of k unit spheres. We assume that $2 \leq P(x) < \min\{n_1,...,n_k\}$ for all x in a compact Riemannian manifold (M,g) without boundary. Then, any stable P(x)-harmonic map $\psi: (M,g) \to \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{S}^{n_k}$ is constant.

Proof. Note that $N = \mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{S}^{n_k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1 + ... + n_k + k}$. Since the second fundamental form of \mathbb{S}^{n_i} in \mathbb{R}^{n_i+1} (i=1,...,k) is given by

$$B_i(X,Y) = -\langle X,Y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i+1}} \xi_i,$$

where ξ_i is the position vector field of \mathbb{R}^{n_i+1} . The function h is given by

(2.48)
$$h(x) = \max \left\{ \left| B_i(u_i, u_i) \right|^2, u_i \in T_{x_i} \mathbb{S}^{n_i}, |u_i| = 1 \right\}$$

$$= \max \left\{ \left| \langle u_i, u_i \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i+1}} \xi_i \right|^2, u_i \in T_{x_i} \mathbb{S}^{n_i}, |u_i| = 1 \right\}.$$

As $|\xi_i| = 1$ on \mathbb{S}^{n_i} for all i = 1, ..., k, from (2.48) we get h(x) = 1 for all $x \in N$. Using the same method, we find that $\phi(u) = 1$ for any unit vector $u \in T_x N$. Since the Ricci curvature of \mathbb{S}^{n_i} satisfies $\mathrm{Ric}^{\mathbb{S}^{n_i}}(u_i, u_i) = n_i - 1$ for any unit vector $u_i \in T_{x_i} \mathbb{S}^{n_i}$, we obtain for all i = 1, ..., k the inequality

$$Ric^{N}(u, u) \ge min\{n_1, ..., n_k\} - 1.$$

The Corollary 2.4 follows from Theorem 2.5.

Example 2.1. Let $M = \mathbb{T}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ the torus of revolution equipped with the Riemannian metric $g = a^2 dx^2 + (b + a\cos x)^2 dy^2$, for b > a > 0. A straightforward calculation shows that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}^3$, the map ψ from (M,g) to $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ defined by $\psi(x,y) = (\alpha,x)$ is non-constant P(x,y)-harmonic, where

$$P(x,y) = \frac{\ln\left((b+a\cos x)(1+x^2)\right) + c}{\ln\left(\frac{a}{2}(1+x^2)\right)}, \quad \forall (x,y) \in \mathbb{T}^2,$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Here, $n_1 = 3$ and $n_2 = 1$, thus it is impossible to make $2 \le P(x) < \min\{n_1, n_2\}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank the editor and the reviewers for their useful remarks and suggestions. The author is supported by National Agency Scientific Research of Algeria and Laboratory of Geometry, Analysis, Control and Applications, Algeria.

REFERENCES

- [1] Baird, P.; Wood, J. C. Harmonic morphisms between Riemannain manifolds. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [2] Baird, P.; Gudmundsson, S. p-harmonic maps and minimal submanifolds. Math. Ann. 294 (1992), no. 4, 611-624
- [3] Bojarski, B.; Iwaniec, T. *p*-harmonic equation and quasiregular mappings. *Banach Center Publ.* **19** (1987), no. 1, 25-38.
- [4] Cheung, L-F.; Leung, P-F. Some results on stable p-harmonic maps. Glasgow Math. J. 36 (1994), no. 1, 77-80.
- [5] Djaa, M.; Mohammed Cherif, A. On generalized *f*-harmonic maps and liouville type theorem. *Konuralp J. Math.* **4** (2016), no. 1, 33-44.
- [6] Eells, J.; Sampson, J. H. Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds. Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 109-160.
- [7] Fardoun, A. On equivariant *p*-harmonic maps. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* **15** (1998), no. 1, 25-72.
- [8] Leung, P. F. A note on stable harmonic maps. J. London Math. Soc. 29 (1984), no. 2, 380-384.
- [9] Masuoka, R. On the stability of Riemannian manifolds. J. Math. Soc. Japan 41 (1989), no. 3, 493-501.
- [10] Merdji, B.; Mohammed Cherif, A. On the Generalized of p-harmonic Maps. Int. Electron. J. Geom. 15 (2022), no. 2, 183-191.
- [11] Mohammed Cherif, A.; Djaa, M.; Zegga, K. Stable *f*-harmonic maps on sphere. *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **30** (2015), no. 4, 471-479.
- [12] Mohammed Cherif, A. On the p-harmonic and p-biharmonic maps. J. Geom. 109 (2018), no. 41, 11 pp.
- [13] Nagano, T.; Sumi, M. Stability of v-harmonic maps. Tokyo I, Math. 15 (1992), no. 2, 475-482.
- [14] Rimoldi, M.; Veronelli, G. f-Harmonic Maps and Applications to Gradient Ricci Solitons. arXiv:1112.3637 (2011).
- [15] Siu, Y. T.; Yau, S. T. Compact Kähler manifolds of positive bisectional curvature. *Invent. Math.* 59 (1980), no. 2, 189-204.
- [16] Xin, Y. L. Some results on stable harmonic maps. Duke Math. J. 47 (1980), no. 3, 609-613.
- [17] Xin, Y. L. Geometry of harmonic maps. Fudan University, 1996.
- [18] Yano, K. On harmonic and Killing vector fields. Ann. of Math. 55 (1952), no. 2, 38-45.

¹DEPARTMENT MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MASCARA, MASCARA 29000, ALGERIA *Email address*: a.mohammedcherif@univ-mascara.dz