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ABSTRACT. By using subordination structure, a new subclass of convex functions is introduced. The estimate of the third Hankel determinants is also investigated.

## 1. Introduction

The coefficient estimate of univalent functions is one of the most important subjects which has been addressed in many recent articles. See [5], [6] and [7].
The well- known Fekete- Szegö inequality and the bounds of second and third Hankel determinants are investigated. See [1], [3], [4], [8] and [9].

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of univalent functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$
\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\} .
$$

Further, by $\mathcal{S}$ we shall denote the class of all functions in $\mathcal{A}$ which are normalized univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.

Let $f$ and $g$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. Then $f$ is said to be subordinate to $g$, written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a function $\omega$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, with $\omega(0)=0,|\omega(z)|<1$ such that $f(z)=g(\omega(z))$.
If $g$ is univalent, the $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0)=0$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$.
Suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ denote the class of analytic functions $p$ of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>0$. Also

$$
S L^{\mathcal{C}}=\left\{f \in A:\left|\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right|<1, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}\right\} .
$$

Thus the values of $1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}$ where $f \in S L^{\mathcal{C}}$ lies in the region which bounded by the right half of the lemniscate of Bernoulli given by $\left|\omega^{2}-1\right|<1$.

[^0]It is easy to see that $f \in S L^{\mathcal{C}}$ if it satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The determinants of the $q^{\text {th }}$ Hankel matrix denoted by

$$
H_{q}(n)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \ldots & a_{n+q-1} \\
a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \ldots & a_{n+q-2} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q-2} & \ldots & a_{n+2 q-2}
\end{array}\right| \quad q \in \mathbb{N} \backslash 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

and is called the $q^{t h}$ Hankel determinant. In the particular cases
$q=2, n=1, a_{1}=1 \quad$ and $\quad q=2, n=2$.
the Hankel determinant simplifies respectively to
$H_{2}(1)=\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \quad$ and $\quad H_{2}(2)=\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|$.
In the case $q=3$ and $n=1$,

$$
H_{3}(1)=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \\
a_{3} & a_{4} & a_{5}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$H_{2}(2)$ and $H_{3}(1)$ are respectively called second and third Hankel determinant For $f \in \mathcal{S}, a_{1}=1$ so that,

$$
H_{3}(1)=a_{3}\left(a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right)-a_{4}\left(a_{1} a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right)+a_{5}\left(a_{1} a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right) .
$$

and by using the triangle inequality, we have

$$
\left|H_{3}(1)\right| \leq\left|a_{3}\right|\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|+\left|a_{4}\right|\left|a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right|+\left|a_{5}\right|\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right|
$$

In this paper, we introduce a new subclass of convex functions assciated with diffrential subordination and extremum point.
Lemma 1.1. [7] If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be of the form 1.2, Then

$$
2 p_{2}=p_{1}^{2}+x\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

for some $x,|x| \leq 1$, and

$$
4 p_{3}=p_{1}^{3}+2\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right) p_{1} x-\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right) p_{1} x^{2}+2\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z
$$

for some $z,|z| \leq 1$.

## 2. Main results

In this section we obtain some coefficient estimates and bounds of third Hankel determinant.

Lemma 2.2. If $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\left|p_{k}\right| \leq 2$ for each $k$. [2]
Theorem 2.1. Let $f$ of the form 1.1 be in the class $S L^{\mathcal{C}}$, Then

$$
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{5}{24}
$$

Proof. If $f \in S L^{\mathcal{C}}$, then it follows from 1.3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \phi(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$. Define a function

$$
p(z)=\frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\ldots .
$$

It is clear that $p \in \mathcal{P}$. This implies that

$$
\omega(z)=\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} .
$$

From 2.4, we have

$$
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\phi(\omega(z))
$$

with

$$
\phi(\omega(z))=\left(\frac{2 p(z)}{p(z)+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Now

$$
\left(\frac{2 p(z)}{p(z)+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=1+\frac{1}{4} p_{1} z+\left(\frac{1}{4} p_{2}-\frac{5}{32} p_{1}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4} p_{3}-\frac{5}{16} p_{1} p_{2}+\frac{13}{128} p_{1}^{3}\right) z^{3} \ldots
$$

Similarly,

$$
\frac{1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=1+2 a_{2} z+\left(6 a_{3}-4 a_{2}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(12 a_{4}-18 a_{2} a_{3}+8 a_{2}^{3}\right) z^{3} \ldots
$$

Now, equating the coefficients we get

$$
2 a_{2}=\frac{1}{4} p_{1},
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{1}{8} p_{1} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
6 a_{3}-4 a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{4} p_{2}-\frac{5}{32} p_{1}^{2}, \\
a_{3}=\frac{1}{24} p_{2}-\frac{1}{64} p_{1}^{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
12 a_{4}-18 a_{2} a_{3}+8 a_{2}^{3}=\frac{1}{4} p_{3}-\frac{5}{16} p_{1} p_{2}+\frac{13}{128} p_{1}^{3} \\
a_{4}=\frac{1}{48} p_{3}-\frac{7}{384} p_{1} p_{2}+\frac{13}{3072} p_{1}^{3} . \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 for the coefficients $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, we get:

$$
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{5}{24}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $f$ of the form 1.1 be in the class $S L^{\mathcal{C}}$, Then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{72}
$$

Proof. From 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}= & \frac{1}{384}\left(p_{1} p_{3}-\frac{7}{8} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}+\frac{13}{64} p_{1}^{4}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{24} p_{2}-\frac{1}{64} p_{1}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{384} p_{1} p_{3}-\frac{7}{3072} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}+\frac{13}{24576} p_{1}^{4}-\frac{1}{576} p_{2}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{768} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}-\frac{1}{4096} p_{1}^{4} \\
= & \frac{1}{24576}\left(64 p_{1} p_{3}+24 p_{1}^{2} p_{2}-\frac{128}{3} p_{2}^{2}+7 p_{1}^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting the values of $p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ from Lemma 1.1, letting $p>0$ and taking $p_{1}=p \in[0,2]$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|= & \left.\frac{1}{24576} \right\rvert\, 16 p_{1}\left(p_{1}^{3}+2\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right) p_{1} x-\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right) p_{1} x^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z\right)+12 p_{1}^{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+x\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{32}{3}\left(p_{1}^{2}\right)+x\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\right)+16 p_{1}^{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+x\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right)\right)-6 p_{1}^{4} \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

After a simple calculation, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|= & \frac{1}{24576} \left\lvert\, \frac{82}{3} p^{4}+\frac{116}{3}\left(4-p^{2}\right) p^{2} x\right. \\
& \left.+32\left(4-p^{2}\right) p\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z-\frac{16}{3}\left(4-p^{2}\right)\left(p^{2}+8\right) x^{2} \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, applying the triangle inequality and replacing $|x|$ by $\rho$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{73728}\left|82 p^{4}+192 p\left(4-p^{2}\right)+116\left(4-p^{2}\right) p^{2} \rho+16 \rho^{2}\left(4-p^{2}\right)\left(p^{2}+8\right)\right| \\
& =F(p, \rho)
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating with respect to $\rho$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial F(p, \rho)}{\partial \rho}=\frac{1}{73728}\left(116\left(4-p^{2}\right) p^{2}+32 \rho\left(4-p^{2}\right)\left(p^{2}+8\right)\right)
$$

It is clear that $\frac{\partial F(p, \rho)}{\partial \rho}>0$, which shows that $F(p, \rho)$ is an increasing function on the closed interval $[0,1]$. This implies that maximum occurs at $\rho=1$. Therefore
$\operatorname{Max} F(p, \rho)=F(p, 1)=G(p)$. Now

$$
G(p)=\frac{1}{73728}\left(-148 p^{4}+336 p^{2}+1024\right) .
$$

Therefore

$$
G^{\prime}(p)=\frac{1}{73728}\left(-592 p^{3}+672 p\right)
$$

and

$$
G^{\prime \prime}(p)=\frac{1}{73728}\left(-1776 p^{2}+672\right)<0
$$

for $p=0$. This shows that maximum of $G(p)$ occurs at $p=0$. Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| & \leq \frac{1024}{73728} \\
& =\frac{1}{72}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $f$ of the form 1.1 be in the class $S L^{\mathcal{C}}$, Then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{12}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{2} & =\frac{1}{8} p_{1} \\
a_{3} & =\frac{1}{24} p_{2}-\frac{1}{64} p_{1}^{2}, \\
a_{4} & =\frac{1}{48} p_{3}-\frac{7}{384} p_{1} p_{2}+\frac{13}{3072} p_{1}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore, by using Lemma 1.2 and replacing $|x|$ by $\rho$, we have

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3072}\left|39 p^{3}+64\left(4-p^{2}\right)+4\left(4-p^{2}\right) p \rho+16 p \rho^{2}\left(4-p^{2}\right)\right|
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(p, \rho)=\frac{1}{3072}\left|39 p^{3}+64\left(4-p^{2}\right)+4\left(4-p^{2}\right) p \rho+16 p \rho^{2}\left(4-p^{2}\right)\right| \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the upper bound occurs at the interior of the rectangle $[0,2] \times[0,1]$. Differentiating 2.8 with respect to $\rho$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial F_{1}(p, \rho)}{\partial \rho}=\frac{1}{3072}\left(4 p\left(4-p^{2}\right)+64 p \rho\left(4-p^{2}\right)\right)
$$

For $0<\rho<1$, and fixed $p \in(0,2)$, it is clear that $\frac{\partial F_{1}(p, \rho)}{\partial \rho}<0$, which shows that $F_{1}(p, \rho)$ is an decreasing function of $p$, which contradicts our assumption, therefore $\operatorname{Max} F_{1}(p, \rho)=$ $F_{1}(p, 0)=G_{1}(p)$. Now

$$
G_{1}(p)=\frac{1}{3072}\left(-4 p^{3}+16 p\right)
$$

So

$$
G_{1}^{\prime}(p)=\frac{1}{3072}\left(-12 p^{2}+16\right)
$$

and

$$
G_{1}^{\prime \prime}(p)=\frac{1}{3072}(-24 p)<0
$$

for $p=0$. This shows that maximum of $G_{1}(p)$ occurs at $p=0$. Hence, we get the required result.

Lemma 2.3. If the function $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ belongs to the class $S L^{\mathcal{C}}$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{12}, \quad\left|a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{24}, \quad\left|a_{5}\right| \leq \frac{1}{40}
$$

These estimations are sharp.
Theorem 2.4. Let $f$ of the form 1.1 be in the class $S L^{\mathcal{C}}$, Then

$$
\left|H_{3}(1)\right| \leq \frac{49}{8640}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
H_{3}(1)=a_{3}\left(a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right)-a_{4}\left(a_{1} a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right)+a_{5}\left(a_{1} a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right),
$$

Now, using the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$
\left|H_{3}(1)\right| \leq\left|a_{3}\right|\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|+\left|a_{4}\right|\left|a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right|+\left|a_{5}\right|\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| .
$$

Using the fact that $a_{1}=1$ with the results of Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$
\left|H_{3}(1)\right| \leq \frac{49}{8640}
$$
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